New "Interest" at Law School

By Kevin Betz

The cliche rings true in the ears of us all: There are not too many lawyers; there are just too many lawyers serving too few interests.

Those interests, obviously, are the interests that can afford legal services. The interests that cannot afford legal services many times simply go without representation. And the ideal of "Equal Justice Under Law"--the words carved atop the entrance of the U.S. Supreme Court--is lost.

That crisis is compounded today with a lack of funding for public interest law. The crisis causes two problems: 1) people go without legal services, and, 2) law students who want to work in public interest law cannot afford to work for free.

This law school and its students have yet to respond to this crisis. But this semester a group called P.I.L.F., Public Interest Law Foundation, was established. The founders are: Jackie Zydeck, 3rd year, Nan Nash, 2nd year; Libby Thompson, 2nd year; and Colleen Cotter, 1st year. Its goal is simple: to raise money from each student who has a paying job and provide some of that money to students who want to work at a non-paying or low-paying public interest job.

PILF will attempt its first solicitation drive this spring. Members of the group will ask each student with a summer job to donate one day's salary and will ask graduating students with full-time jobs to donate one percent of their first-year salary.

Some law firms have also indicated a willingness to match a student's contribution.

More than fourteen other law schools, including Michigan, Harvard, Chicago, Cornell, Boston College, Northwestern and University of California - Berkeley, have already supported this type of fundraising for public interest fellowships.

The initial fundraising activity was a success. Nearly 100 "Make Love - Not Law Journal" t-shirts were sold. The group's long-range goals include implementing a loan-forgiveness program for students pursuing permanent public interest employment.

PILF Fashion Models Shirley Bannar, Libby Thompson, and Stuart Baggerly
Letter from Editor: Take that, Hazelwood

An exordium, a term derived from the Latin exordi-i, is a beginning. There couldn't be a more appropriate term for a newspaper that is constantly resurrected after sudden endings.

The '80s saw an Exordium "journal" well-established in the law school community. But as the law school was shaken up by the recent renovations, so was this monthly journal. In the 85-86 school year, the demise of the paper was complete.

Last spring, under the direction of SLA, the Exordium staged a comeback. With a strained budget, SLA adopted an economical newsletter format. But the new look became "no look" last year as the Exordium was dropped from SLA jurisdiction.

It is no surprise that the Exordium has fallen to indifference. After all, who wants additional handouts cluttering up the mailbox? What need is there for a newspaper when news travels fast enough already? Most importantly, who wants to take on the responsibilities of editor in a school where the faculty members are well-versed in libel law, and the students think they are?

Then there is Hazelwood. Who wants to run a newspaper when his or her autonomy is at the mercy of the omnipotent censor.

Actually, none of these are good reasons for dropping the law school's newspaper. Although the risks and responsibilities are great, they are outweighed by the benefits which a newspaper can provide.

First, a newspaper is more than just another handout. While most propaganda embarks on a quick journey from mailbox to circular file, the newspaper begins a pilgrimage. Articles will yellow on refrigerators, become bound in archive collections, and make their way across the country to elicit the interest of prospective first years.

But the benefits to historians and future 1Ls do not justify the existence of a newspaper nearly as much as do the advantages to us. Newspaper writing gives us a chance to exercise our creativity and to recoil against the draconian methods of legal research and writing.

Furthermore, there is prestige. At most law schools, the newspaper is a fundamental tool for information, argumentation, and recruitment. The lack of a newspaper at Indiana University flies in the face of the law school's efforts to bolster its reputation.

Finally, we should put our efforts into a newspaper just because the law school needs a forum to debate issues, communicate concerns, and enhance awareness of events.

As for Hazelwood, now is not the time to shrink in fear of servility. Instead, we must rise to the occasion to protect our first amendment rights against such infringements by the censor. Today starts another beginning for the Exordium. Hopefully, it will last.
BLSA to sponsor symposium

By LaTrelle Miller

The month of February is observed as Black History Month. It is dedicated to the achievements and contributions of countless black Americans who helped build this country.

Black History Month began as a week entitled Negro History Week and was established by Carter Godwon Woodson in 1926. Woodson was an educator and scholar who discovered that instructional materials included little, and usually negative, information about African Americans. To dramatize this omission from the general study of American history, Woodson launched the week-long observance. It is a time when America must stop and recognize the injustices of the past and persevere to create a more equitable future.

The Black Law Student Association of Indiana University recognizes the month with their annual Spring Symposium. This will mark the 9th year that the BLSA has convened to discuss prominent issues in the Black community. The Symposium has become a successful and insightful program attracting noted professionals to speak from their fields of expertise.

The theme for this year’s symposium is: “The Growing Extinction of the Black Male.” The speakers for this event include Dr. John E. Joyner, a neurosurgeon and President of the National Medical Association, Timothy W. Wright III, Special Assistant to the Mayor of Chicago; Judge Webster L. Brewer, Marion Superior Court, Criminal Division, and Dr. Fred McElroy, professor of Afro-American Affairs on the Bloomington campus. These men will attempt to explain the decline of involvement and participation of the black male within these areas.

Student moderators at the event will include: LaTrelle E. Miller, Wayne Harris, Clarence Pollard and Vincent Scott.

Planning & Placement News

In addition to the judicial clerkship, job search and first-year meetings already completed this semester, the Career Placement Office schedule will include:

**Judicial Clerkship Meeting #3** - the interview process, detail by detail. late February, t.b.a.

**How to Survive a Summer Clerkship** - A discussion of pitfalls and mines set for unsuspecting clerks.

Wed. 3/9, 12:30, Rm. 120

**How People Really Get Jobs** - A discussion of the realities of the job market...proven techniques to use in landing a job. Tentatively scheduled for 3/23.

**Other Happenings:**

A session for minorities and one on how to deal with discrimination in the job search process (age, sex, etc.) are in the works...depending on student interest.

There are several other small firms, the US Army and Marines, and an insurance company coming through. Watch the board inside the office for more.

Student representatives on the Placement Advisory Committee are Cindy Cappello and Shirley Banner. Let them know of your concerns and suggestions.

In order to help us give students an accurate picture of the job market, please come in and fill out a form after you accept a job (permanent, summer or part-time).
Making the grade: Realists, Upfronts, Weasels,

By Marti Huck

Paul Hindemith the great German-turned-American composer of the first half of the century, taught music composition at Yale. Music composition is the kind of course that is impossible to teach and even harder to grade; so at the end of the semester, Hindemith failed all of his students. The Dean of the music school would not accept this, and called Hindemith into his office.

"Mr. Hindemith, I am sorry, but you cannot give all of your students Fs."

"Very well," said Hindemith, "give them all As." And he walked out.

We all know that there are only two kinds of people in the world: those who say they hate mimes, and liars. I'm not sure that the same is true for those who say they care about grades; but it is only the people that do care for which we are concerned, because they're the truly humorous ones.

As a quick aside, perhaps the language of admission is best suited to discussing those who proclaim the importance of grades, to wit: "I admit it, I care about grades. In fact, I'd rather get good grades than get--" well, you get the idea. Certainly the professors consider concern for grades somewhat of an evil. It's odd that they're the ones that hand them out.

With this in mind, let us move on to an examination of the types of people who may never confess outright, but who always have a guilty mind. The categories are not mutually exclusive.

First, we have the realist. "This is going to be a fun semester," she says between quaffs of a Watney's Red at the Irish Lion. "I worked last semester and it didn't help my grades any."

What can we say to the realist? What can we do but gasp in awe at the glory of true wisdom descendent to earth and resplendent in our presence? Hell, if studying really doesn't help, why not tip back at the Lion, or pound a pound at Nick's, every night?

Well, cost has got to be a reason. If you're living on a loan, you probably can't afford to go out every night. On the other hand, if you're not studying, WHY DO YOU STILL HAVE YOUR BOOKS? There are also emergency student loans, recommended only for the desperate. To conclude, it seems that "the realist" rather rapidly turns into "the partier." The ranks of realists should be filling up any minute.

The second category is the detective, of which there are two types.

1) The upfront. This is the guy whose first statement back from break is "What'd ya get?" He makes no bones about his desire to know everyone's grades, combining methods of direct examination, reliance on hearsay, and some serious zoneballing (infra) to achieve that goal. The interesting part of this per-
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I.U. Culture

Biology Department Greenhouse (horticulture)
Open daily 10:30 - Noon; 1:00 - 1:45 p.m.

Indiana University Art Museum
"William Wordsworth and the Age of English Romanticism"
Jan. 27 - Mar. 6
Hours: Tuesday thru Saturday, 9:00 - 5:00; Sunday, 1:00 - 5:00; Closed Mondays

Indiana University Auditorium
Vienna Choir Boys Friday, Feb. 26
Modern Jazz Quartet Saturday, Feb. 27
"Big River" Friday, Mar. 4
Pittsburgh Ballet Theater Saturday, Mar. 5
Chieftains Friday, Mar. 25
"Singin' in the Rain" Saturday, Ap. 2
For ticket information, call 335-1103.

Indiana University Opera Theater
"Beatrice and Benedict" by Hector Berlioz Feb. 27
Mar. 4,5
"Orfeo" by Luigi Rossi Ap. 2,9,23
For more information, call 335-7433

Indiana University Theater
"The Misanthrope" by Moliere Mar. 4,5,7-12
"The Boyfriend" by Sandy Wilson Ap. 15,16,18-23
For more information, call 335-1103
Zoneballs, Stoics, Weenies, and YOU

sona is that he assumes you have the same desire to know everyone’s grades. So his second statement back from break is “Leon got the A* in Torts, the weenie.” Though he gets on your nerves, you have got to respect his honest approach. The other type of detective is a different story.

2) The weasel. This is a little guy, you think, but you’re not really sure. You actually have no good idea what he looks like. It seems that every time you’re talking about grades to anyone, you can see, out of the corner of your eye, someone, in trench coat, sunglasses, and fedora, reading a newspaper; but the hat doesn’t quite fit because the guy’s ears are too big.

The thing is, you see this guy everywhere. You’re talking to your friend in Macomb, Illinois, for God’s sake, on the phone at home, and she asks how you did, and you glance out your window, and there he is. Then one day, you’re with some friends, the topic is grades in general, and you get the sense that one guy is dying to ask specifics. You think you recognize those ears, but you don’t want to risk it. He might be armed.

The final category is the data processor, of two types. Zoneballs are first. These are the people that just stand in front of the grade case for long periods of time. Without moving. What are they doing there?

“Excuse me, what are you doing there?”

“Me? Oh...nothing.”

She gives you a sheepish look, slowly saunters away, mulls about; you leave, and when you return, there she is again, zoning out in front of the grade case. Is she using some massive process of elimination to discover everyone’s grades? Or some great telekinetic power to alter everyone’s grades? Perhaps she’s mourning or paying homage, as though this glass case held the key to her future tightly in hand. Maybe she just does not remember her exam number.

The other type of data processor is the stoic. He strolls up to the case, finds his grade, desperately contorts his face to hide his disbelief at that ridiculous letter next to what he thought was his exam number but what must surely not be. He seeks further to quell the rage he feels toward the weenie who got the A*, whose exam number is so remote from his own that he knows the professor could not have made a mistake. He would love to be a weenie, too, you see.

Everyone would love to be that kind of a weenie. Whether you cut-throats care about grades more than life itself, or you just sit around and listen to jazz and stay hip and think about grades once a month or so, you still would love that A*.

I guess those of you who do well in grades are wise to continue caring. But just so you’re not completely at peace; do you have a summer job yet?
Minnesota cold for I.U. trial teams

Six I.U. law students traveled to Minneapolis on Wednesday, February 10, for the midwest regional trial team competition. The six students make up two teams; the first team consisted of Darla Brown, Cary Depel and Boyd Farnum; the second, Al Himelhoch, Jim McCutcheon and Jeff Walcutt. The advisors were Professor Tanford and Professor Taylor.

The teams were given a case file which contains the complaint, the answer, witness depositions, jury instructions, rules of the jurisdiction, exhibits and anything the team needs to prepare a case for trial.

On each team, two of the three members try the case, i.e. they present opening and closing arguments, and direct cross examinations, motions and objections. The third member, the strategist, confers with other members to maintain organization and minimize mistakes.

The case is tried before a judge and a two-person jury. Scoring is based on the quality of a team's arguments, examinations, objections and responses. Scores are then totaled and the judges vote on a winner. Each team tries the case once as plaintiffs and once as defense before the final eight of the 24 competing teams are selected.

The finalists are determined by overall record, total number of judges' votes and then total points. Two teams from the final eight are selected to represent the region at the National finals held in Texas.

The closest I.U. has come to making the final eight was two years ago when one of the teams was edged out for the final spot. This year's teams looked to end the drought fielding two excellent teams.

On the first night, both teams battled Northern Illinois as plaintiffs. Brown and Farnum earned a hard fought 2-1 win while Himelhoch and Walcutt rolled to a 3-0 win with the largest point differential of the tournament.

However, the tide turned on the second night for I.U. Depel and Farnum fell to Notre Dame 0–3 while McCutcheon and Walcutt lost 0-3 to the first place Loyola team. Himelhoch expressed his dismay by saying, "We had a three-hour dog fight and really thought it would go 2-1 or 1-2, but an 0-3 for what Jim and Jeff did is ridiculous."

When the results were tallied the Himelhoch, McCutcheon and Walcutt team tied for the eighth spot but lost out on the second tiebreaker, points. "We put on a great show," said Himelhoch, "Darla, Cary and Boyd racked up an incredible number of points for an I.U. team and we came up one judge short-one we should've had."
Moot Court Competition

By Robin Jackman

Indiana I.U. School of Law will be represented in five Moot Court competitions throughout the country this year.

The National Moot Court Team already completed its competition. I.U. sent two teams composed of Emily Elliot, Boyd Farnam, and Allan Slagel arguing on behalf of Petitioners and Kitty O'Donnell, Mark Williams, and Todd Wind arguing on behalf of Respondents. Competing in Chicago, Illinois from December 3-5, both of the teams advanced to elimination rounds.

Of the 14 schools represented at the competition, I.U. was one of only three schools which had both Petitioner and Respondent teams advance. The team of Elliot, Farnam, and Slagel concluded the competition just one win shy of a trip to the finals in New York. All of the National team members are currently acting as advisors for the Appellate Advocacy class taught by Professor Joe Hoffmann this spring.

The Chicago Area Moot Court Competition also was held during the fall semester. Petitioner team of Carol Browder and Kathy Buchler, along with Respondent team Chris Nichols and Jeff Rollings, represented the law school. The Respondent team advanced to the semi-final round and received a third place award.

This semester, I.U. is involved in three more appellate competitions. For the first time in five years, the law school will be participating in the Jessup International Moot Court Competition. Team members Craig Capehart, Brenda Sue Thornton, Andrew Ward, and Marti Wentworth will be travelling to the University of Cincinnati in late February to argue before the Moot International Court of Justices. This year's issue concerns state responsibility for terrorist acts.

Shortly after the International Competition, Tammy Babcock, Kathy Novack, and Sonia Overholser will travel to Chapel Hill, North Carolina for the Braxen Craven Moot Court Competition.

The A.B.A./National Appellate Advocacy Competition rounds out those competitions in which I.U. will be involved. Team members Kerry Connor, Ian McLean, Robin Jackman, and Greg Norrod will be visiting Carbondale, Illinois, in late March for this competition.

Re: App Ad

If you would like to discuss the various opportunities for appellate competition, the Appellate Advocacy teams have a new office located across from the Placement Office on the ground floor of the Law School.
Law Stars “place” in S.O.S.

By Andrew Buroker

The “Law Stars” basketball team made it to the semi-finals in the 10th Annual “Spirit of Sport” all-night fundraiser for the Indiana Special Olympics. With their $35 entry fee, they helped in an effort which eventually raised $16,000 for the worthy charity. This surpassed both the previous record and this year’s goal by $1,000.

Dean Heinhold and his team of Jim Buchholtz, Keith Doi, Scott Keller, and Mike Klipsch competed in the 5’11” and under division with 13 other teams on February 5-6th. Experience from last year’s event helped keep them in the tournament through three games. They won their 9:00 p.m. game 42-31, and their midnight game 72-50. This record put them in the 7:00 a.m. semi-finals against Beta Theta Pi. Age took its toll as the “Law Stars” fell to the powerful eventual champion Betas, 58-41.

The evening was marred by controversy when statuesque George Azar was declared ineligible by the referee for being 3/4” over the height limit. George promptly filed a habeas corpus appeal, but the tournament ended before Dean Fromm could be roused to represent him.

There were plenty of other events for the disgruntled Azar to enjoy while his team played without him. Chairperson Susan McKearnan and her committee organized five athletic competitions, as well as numerous “Fun Events” such as pizza and ice cream eating contests, gambling, and “Wheel of Sport” to help raise money.

IM Hoops

By Andrew Buroker

Law school teams jumped to a quick start during the first week of IM basketball with a combined 5-1 start among five teams. Two third-year teams, “Law Stars” (A & B), each won their first games by wide margins. Players include Dave Bolk, Jim Buchholtz, Keith Doi, Dean Heinhold, Scott Keller, and Mike Klipsch.


“The Penetrators” are also 1-0 after an easy first win. Players are Brian Boeglin, John Combs, John Devoe, Bill Dickinson, David Foster, Chris Fowler, and Rich Mitchell.

“Hatin Life” stands even at 1-1, but with two games remaining, they expect an “I.U.” fast finish to end at 3-1. The team includes Bill Hermann, Don Hindman, Chuck Killion, John Sullivan, and several M.B.A.’s. All the teams play again next week with the playoffs beginning in early March.
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If you have intramural team news or records to report, please leave information in Andrew Buroker’s mailbox. Also, teams needing members for spring IM’s can advertise in the Exordium.