

12-1999

Editor's Note

Meggan L. Frye
Indiana University School of Law

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj>



Part of the [Communications Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Frye, Meggan L. (1999) "Editor's Note," *Federal Communications Law Journal*: Vol. 52 : Iss. 1 , Article 1.
Available at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj/vol52/iss1/1>

This Special Feature is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Federal Communications Law Journal by an authorized editor of Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. For more information, please contact rvaughan@indiana.edu.



JEROME HALL LAW LIBRARY

INDIANA UNIVERSITY
Maurer School of Law
Bloomington

EDITOR'S NOTE

Welcome to the first issue of Volume 52 of the *Federal Communications Law Journal*. This issue covers a broad range of topics, touching on consumer protection, dissemination of information, investment opportunities in foreign markets, and the conflicts in Portland, Maine, and Portland, Oregon.

In the first Article, Rachele Chong and Wendy Chow discuss the lucrative investment opportunities available in Asia's telecommunications market, while warning potential investors about the regulatory risks involved in financing any telecommunication project. Craig Feiser follows and addresses the need for Congress to update the Freedom of Information Act to include private entities that either serve a public function or provide information important to the public in order to avoid information becoming shrouded in secrecy. In the final Article, Andrew Tollin offers a history of the proceedings and the issues faced when the FCC began the competitive process of deciding who would be licensed to provide cellular telephone service to Portland, Maine.

In the first student-written work, Garry Founds analyzes the Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act and its attempt to solve the problems of the typical mass-market software licensing agreement. Angela Karas, in her note, focuses on the Driver's Privacy Protection Act and its effect on the First Amendment. Heather Miller scrutinizes Congress's second attempt to regulate minors' access to sexually explicit material via the Internet. In his note, Kevin Tharp addresses the jurisdictional issue posed by the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991. Marcus Maher closes the student pieces with an argument that the concerns surrounding the domination of cable Internet by the cable company would be alleviated by requiring open access to cable for Internet Service Providers.

The Editorial Board would like to thank all of the authors for their contributions. We are committed to providing our readers with broad coverage of pressing and important communications issues, and we sincerely appreciate the continued support of contributors and readers alike. As always, we actively welcome your comments and submissions concerning

any issues of interest to the communications bar. The *Journal* can be contacted at Indiana University School of Law—Bloomington, 211 South Indiana Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana 47405; telephone (812) 855-5952; facsimile (812) 855-0555; and e-mail <fcj@indiana.edu>.

Meggan L. Frye
Editor-in-Chief