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p. 555:

The following introduction should be substituted in the Book Review of Professor Margaret Somerville’s book *The Ethical Canary: Science, Society, and the Human Spirit*. We sincerely apologize to Professor Somerville for any ambiguity or error in the characterization of her views that may have appeared in the introduction as originally printed.

In her recent work *The Ethical Canary: Science, Society, and the Human Spirit*, Professor Margaret Somerville contends that ethics is being outpaced by scientific innovation. As a result, Professor Somerville believes that we must build a decision-making structure that is broad and deep enough to hold all of us in our incredible human diversity. In particular, it must be able to hold our customs, cultures, and religions, especially when they are in conflict, in such a way that the conflict, rather than being destructive, becomes creative tension. Her views are of global significance and relevance.

On April 5, 2001, the *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies* brought together this distinguished panel to discuss Professor Somerville’s arguments and conclusions.