

Winter 2003

Errata (to v. 9 no. 2)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ijgls>



Part of the [Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2003) "Errata (to v. 9 no. 2)," *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*: Vol. 10 : Iss. 1 , Article 16.

Available at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ijgls/vol10/iss1/16>

This Comment is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies* by an authorized editor of Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. For more information, please contact rvaughan@indiana.edu.



JEROME HALL LAW LIBRARY

INDIANA UNIVERSITY
Maurer School of Law
Bloomington

INDIANA JOURNAL OF GLOBAL LEGAL STUDIES

Errata

Please note the following changes in Volume 9, Issue 2 of the Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies.

p. 555:

The following introduction should be substituted in the Book Review of Professor Margaret Somerville's book *The Ethical Canary: Science, Society, and the Human Spirit*. We sincerely apologize to Professor Somerville for any ambiguity or error in the characterization of her views that may have appeared in the introduction as originally printed.

In her recent work *The Ethical Canary: Science, Society, and the Human Spirit*, Professor Margaret Somerville contends that ethics is being outpaced by scientific innovation. As a result, Professor Somerville believes that we must build a decision-making structure that is broad and deep enough to hold all of us in our incredible human diversity. In particular, it must be able to hold our customs, cultures, and religions, especially when they are in conflict, in such a way that the conflict, rather than being destructive, becomes creative tension. Her views are of global significance and relevance.

On April 5, 2001, the *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies* brought together this distinguished panel to discuss Professor Somerville's arguments and conclusions.