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determine questions of fact. Numerous situations are indicated where cases may be changed from legal to equitable by slight alterations in their prayers for relief; these he uses to overcome the argument that a single judge is incapable of determining factual issues.

The remaining pages are devoted largely to discussions of the failure of jury reforms and to the results which would follow the abolition of the system in civil cases. Among these the author includes: swifter and more certain disposition of cases, lowered costs, no appeals on questions of evidence, instructions, and mistrials, and added dignity to the profession.

Jerome A. Gross.

St. Louis, Mo.


The course in personal property, where it is retained in the law curriculum, may be taught with one of three purposes primarily in mind: (1) to introduce the student to certain legal conceptions and modes of thought, involving ideas of possession and ownership, the bases for exerting legal claims, the kinds of property interests, and the means of protecting creditors; (2) to relate the law of personal property to the law of real property, with such differentiation as will contribute to an understanding of each; or (3) to lead into a study of the multitudinous forms of modern business property, largely intangible in nature, which are of such great economic if not litigious importance. Professor Bigelow's book has been the best in the field for the first of these purposes since the publication of the original edition in 1917. Elementary in nature and thoroughly teachable, it has won wide acceptance. It is believed that the second edition, in whose preparation Professor Francis W. Jacob has collaborated, will continue the popularity of the book for its purpose. There has been a rearrangement of the cases relating to the common-law actions, about which opinions will differ. The introduction of a greater number of modern cases which bring out the present significance of the topics treated will undoubtedly be generally approved. The slight increase in bulk which thus is occasioned does not create a problem, for the volume even yet will not tax the capacities of a class.

Ralph F. Fuchs.


Professor Vernier has produced a rare type of law book. He and his assistants have expended prodigious labor and care in gathering together the statutes of the forty-eight states, the District of Columbia, Alaska, and