

11-1932

## Convicting the Innocent, by Edwin M. Borchard

Bernard C. Gavit

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ilj>



Part of the [Courts Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Gavit, Bernard C. (1932) "Convicting the Innocent, by Edwin M. Borchard," *Indiana Law Journal*: Vol. 8 : Iss. 2 , Article 10.

Available at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ilj/vol8/iss2/10>

This Book Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Indiana Law Journal* by an authorized editor of Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. For more information, please contact [rvaughan@indiana.edu](mailto:rvaughan@indiana.edu).



**JEROME HALL LAW LIBRARY**

INDIANA UNIVERSITY  
Maurer School of Law  
Bloomington

## BOOK REVIEW

*Convicting the Innocent.* By Edwin M. Borchard. (Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn. 1932. \$3.75.)

The purpose of this book is to sustain the proposition that the state and federal governments should compensate in money for the damages caused by the conviction of innocent defendants. To refute the common assumption that the innocent are never convicted Professor Borchard presents sixty-five cases where innocent men were convicted and where their innocence was subsequently established. He then presents a convincing argument to refute the reasons advanced heretofore as to why the state should not compensate for the damages thus sustained. This is supplemented by a review of the three American statutes on the subject, and the numerous foreign statutes, together with a draft of a proposed statute. Professor Borchard makes out a remarkably convincing case both upon the facts and the policy involved. In addition he has produced a readable book. The cases are presented with considerable narrative skill so that the book is as interesting as the best detective story.

Finally there is also presented the fact that a good many of the convictions are the direct result of rather obvious failures in our administration of the criminal law. Valuable suggestions are made upon that score. The total result seems to be that the book will interest many lawyers, and ought to interest all of them.

BERNARD C. GAVIT.

Indiana University School of Law.