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Globalization and Housing Rights

PADRAIC KENNA*

ABSTRACT

This article seeks to explore the relationship between the growing phenomenon of globalization and the field of housing rights. I begin with a general description of globalization, and move on to discuss its effect on homelessness, and on housing systems across the world. I examine the role of global corporations; the globalization of housing finance and real estate investment; the reordering of cities and slums; the idea of the minimalist state; and the effects of privatization. I examine the rise of governance networks and how they have created new patterns of making law; globalization's effect on housing policy; and its effects on the movement of people. Next I look to the idea of housing rights and some specific instances of their development through the United Nations, the Council of Europe, and the European Union. These rights may offer the possibility of mediating the excesses of neo-liberal globalization and promote social equality and inclusion. I conclude with a call to reconsider traditional liberal legal models and housing-as-property regimes, and recommend the legal concept of the "home" may be a more appropriate base model for housing rights in a globalizing world.

INTRODUCTION

Today, globalization or global neo-liberalism is seen as ubiquitous. It is accredited with dramatic developments in all areas of work, consumption, technology, and most areas of modern life. Housing addresses the basic need for human shelter, but also facilitates the essential human requirement for a home. Housing has become

* Lecturer in Law at National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland. Telephone: 00353 91 493230. E-mail: padraic.kenna@nuigalway.ie. I would like to express appreciation for the assistance of Donncha O'Connell, Paul O'Connell, Alan Ahearne, Terry McDonough, and others who provided insights and help in preparing this article. This article is developed from a presentation on Globalization and Housing Rights at the Housing Rights in Europe Conference, Helsinki, September 2006. See http://www.ymparisto.fi/download.asp?contentid=56421&lan=en.
the contemporary universal repository of household wealth and equity. Similarly, there is a developing corpus of jurisprudence and literature on human rights with housing rights as an integral element. The impact of these contemporary developments on housing systems, law, and policy has not been widely examined.

This article explores aspects of globalization and housing rights. Part One includes an overview of globalization and its impacts on housing systems worldwide, but particularly in Europe. Part Two outlines the globalization of housing corporations, property rights, housing finance markets and lenders, real estate investment in housing, the reordering of cities and creation of slums, new roles for the state, and globalized migrants and refugees. Part Three examines housing rights as promulgated within international and European legal instruments and precedents, while Part Four attempts to trace contemporary interaction between housing rights and globalized/neo-liberal impacts on housing systems.

The effects of globalization are mediated by states, institutions, and individuals, creating different outcomes based on varying historical political legacies. Many international and regional instruments and monitoring agencies are expanding the definition of housing rights, exhorting states to adopt appropriate policy, legal, and administrative measures. Yet courts regularly act as the final arbiters of individual violations of housing rights at the national level, but increasingly at the regional or supra-national level, addressing key critical structural housing issues expressed as individual disputes. The conflict between historically hallowed property rights and emerging housing rights can mask a deeper gulf, which is both structural and conceptual. Could a modern interpretation of home offer a way forward for housing rights in this age of globalization?

I. Depicting Globalization

A. Defining Globalization

Definitions of globalization are diverse, and the term itself is hotly contested. Globalization is a generic term used to describe a range of economic, industrial, social, military, and cultural changes that have created high levels of interdepen-
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dence, interaction, and integration among different parts of the world, between people, and between producers and consumers.2

Technological development and communication are key features of globalization, which have also been variously conceived as action at a distance; time-space compression; accelerating interdependence; a shrinking world; and among other concepts, global integration, the reordering of interregional power relations, consciousness of global conditions, and the intensification of interregional connectedness. The local becomes embedded within more expansive sets of interregional relations and networks of power—the global village.3

From the information superhighway to the international trade in drugs and arms, to the phenomenal impact of MacWorld, Nike and the global media, the subject of globalization has come to concern all and sundry. At the core of most discussions of the issue is the extraordinary explosion of both technology and information, in ways that have considerably reduced the twin concepts of time and space. In particular, information and communications technology (ICT) has emerged as perhaps the most dominant force in the global system of production, albeit with significant ramifications in all other spheres of contemporary human existence.4

Anthony Giddens regards globalization as having four dimensions, involving the world capitalist economy, the nation-state systems, the world military order,5

2. There is an important distinction between internationalization and globalization. See David Held et al., Global Transformations: Politics, Economics, and Culture 52–58 (1999).


and the international division of labor. Globalization primarily involves the intensification of worldwide social relations.\(^6\)

A precise and widely quoted definition of globalization is put forward by David Held and others as "a process (or set of processes) which embodies a transformation in the spatial organization of social relations and transactions—assessed in terms of their extensity, intensity, velocity and impact—generating transcontinental or interregional flows and networks of activity, interaction, or the exercise of power."\(^7\)

Globalization has been described as an ideological construction, a convenient myth, which, in part, helps justify and legitimize the neo-liberal global project,\(^8\) that is, the creation of a global free market, and the consolidation of Anglo-American capitalism within the world’s major economic regions.\(^9\)

Of course, contemporary globalization may be no more than a continuation of centuries old global trade, hugely intensified after the industrial revolution, as addressed by Karl Marx and Frederick Engels in their description of the global nature of capital.\(^10\) Depicting globalization as the second great transformation, Rhoda Howard-Hassmann supports the view that globalization is the final assault of capitalism on those areas of the globe that previously escaped it, either because of explicit communism or socialist politics "or because capitalism had no interest in the region as a source of capital or resources, a source of workers or a market."\(^11\) It now appears that globalization is forcing onto an unwilling world

---


\(^6\) \textit{David Held et al., Rethinking Globalization, in THE GLOBAL TRANSFORMATIONS READER, supra note 3, at 68.}

\(^7\) See \textit{generally} \textit{DAVID HARVEY, A BRIEF HISTORY OF NEOLIBERALISMS} (2005) (giving an excellent account of the development of neo-liberalism).

\(^8\) See \textit{TOM HANAHOE, AMERICA RULES: US FOREIGN POLICY, GLOBALIZATION AND CORPORATE USA} 13 (2003).


the conditions of early European capitalism, ignoring the international human
due that now prohibits those conditions.12

The primary agents and institutional focus of contemporary economic global
practices are widely identified as transnational corporations.13 But the World
Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Trade Organization
(WTO), commodity and currency exchanges, and international organizations,
such as the G8, the Bilderberg Group,14 the Trilateral Commission,15 and the
World Economic Forum,16 comprising leading industrialists and politicians, set
the agenda and course for corporations, states, and institutions to follow. The
United States promotes its policies on banking, labor, and other major economic
areas, and many countries have adopted these policies within the phenomenon
known as the "Washington Consensus."17 This involves:

not only the US government, but all those institutions and net-
works of opinion leaders centred in the world's defacto [sic] capi-
tal—the IMF, World Bank, think-tanks, politically sophisticated
investment bankers, and worldly finance ministers, all those who
meet each other in Washington and collectively define the conven-
tional wisdom of the moment . . . the belief that Victorian virtue
and economic policy—free markets and sound money—is the key
to economic development.18

Joseph Stiglitz describes the Washington Consensus policies as:

12. Id. at 18.
14. See Jonathan Duffy, Bilderberg: The Ultimate Conspiracy Theory, BBC News Online Maga-
15. Trilateral Commission, About the Organization, http://www.trilateral.org/about.htm (last
visited Apr. 3, 2008).
about/index.htm (last visited Apr. 3, 2008).
17. The contemporary shifting influences in global governance towards Asian countries have
been described by Ahearne and others as highlighted by the recent redistribution of IMF voting
rights in favor of China, Korea, Mexico and Turkey at the expense of European votes. See Alan
Ahearne et al., Global Governance: An Agenda for Europe (Bruegel Policy Brief, Dec. 2006), avail-
18. See Leslie Sklair, Sociology of the Global System, in The Globalization Reader 70, 70
(Franklin J. Lechner & John Boli eds., 2d ed. 2003).
based on a simplistic model of the market economy, the competitive equilibrium model, in which Adam Smith's invisible hand works, and works perfectly. Because in this model there is no need for government—that is, free, unfettered, "liberal" markets work perfectly—the Washington Consensus policies are sometimes referred to as "neo-liberal," based on "market fundamentalism," a resuscitation of the laissez-faire policies that were popular in some circles in the nineteenth century.\textsuperscript{19}

However, there is now a "Post-Washington Consensus [that] has added civil society, social capital, capacity building, governance, transparency, a new international economic architecture, institution building, and safety nets."\textsuperscript{20} But this new consensus has also been described as "the systematic transformation of social relations and institutions in the developing world, in order to generalise and facilitate proletarianization and capitalist accumulation on a global scale, and build specifically capitalist hegemony through the promotion of legitimating schemes of community participation and [country] ownership."\textsuperscript{21}

The role and impact on women of this new phenomenon is often ignored. The 2000 Report to the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (UNCESCR) on globalization examined the institutional framework that has been developed to pursue the essential goals of globalization and the related questions of equality and nondiscrimination with a particular focus on the effects of globalization on women.\textsuperscript{22} In 1998 the UNCESCR pointed out that:

Women have entered the workforce in large numbers in States that have embraced liberal economic policies. One United Nations survey concludes that '[i]t is by now considered a stylized fact that industrialization in the context of globalization is as much female-led as it is export led.' The overall economic activity rate of women for the age group 20-54 approached 70 per cent in 1996. The highest absorption of women has been witnessed in the export-oriented industrial sector


\textsuperscript{21} Paul Cammack, What the World Bank Means by Poverty Reduction, and Why it Matters, 9 NEW POL. ECON. 189, 190 (2004).

\textsuperscript{22} Preliminary Report, supra note 4, at ¶ 3.
Thus, according to the Women’s Environment and Development Organization (WEDO) women bear the disproportionate weight of the constraints introduced under the yoke of globalization.\(^{23}\)

Jeffrey Sachs points out in *The End of Poverty* that the effect of globalized industrial development on Bangladesh has been a 66 percent drop in infant mortality in thirty years,\(^{24}\) and a reduction in numbers in extreme poverty in India and China, respectively, by two and three hundred million people since 1990.\(^{25}\) Significantly, he claims that the women working in the sweatshops “already have a foothold in the modern economy that is a critical, measurable step up from the villages of Malawi.”\(^{26}\) Perhaps globalization represents, therefore, more than anything else, a greater proletarianization of women’s work worldwide.\(^{27}\)

**B. Globalization of Homelessness**

In relation to globalization and housing, Miloon Kothari, the U.N. Special Rapporteur on adequate housing, has pointed out that the reality is bleak:

While the majority of the world’s population lives in some form of dwelling, roughly one half of the world’s population does not enjoy the full spectrum of entitlements necessary for housing to be considered adequate. United Nations estimates indicate that approximately 100 million people worldwide are without a place to live. Over 1 billion people are inadequately housed.\(^{28}\)

While global economic integration is creating new wealth, the number of homeless or precariously sheltered persons continues to grow. For the homeless and the poor, the benefits of globalization have been insignificant at best; some see the IMF and World Bank as the two institutions responsible for the globalization of poverty.\(^{29}\)

\(^{23}\) *Id.* ¶ 31.


\(^{25}\) *Id.* at 355.

\(^{26}\) *Id.* at 11.

\(^{27}\) In relation to housing rights and women, see the study by the Special Rapporteur, Miloon Kothari, on Women and Adequate Housing, UN Doc. E/CN.4/2005/43.

\(^{28}\) *Adequate Housing*, *supra* note 5, at 2.

Findings from the UN-Habitat Global Urban Indicators Database reveal that there is a wide gap between income groups, within countries and across countries in terms of the availability, affordability and habitability of housing and access to utilities and essential services, ultimately resulting in an increase in the number of people in inadequate housing and living conditions. In Peru, reforms sponsored by the International Monetary Fund’s structural adjustment programme in 1990 drove up rates of inflation and contributed to a significant decline in the real minimum wage. It is estimated that the population of street-dwelling poor rose to 5 million.\(^3\)

In terms of housing and other socio-economic human rights, the U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (UNCESCR) pointed out in 1998 that:

> The negative impact of globalization—especially on vulnerable sections of the community—results in the violation of a plethora of rights guaranteed by the Covenants. In particular, the enjoyment of fundamental aspects of the right to life, freedom from cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment . . . [and] the right to an adequate standard of living (including the right to adequate food, clothing and housing) . . . have been severely impaired. Developing states are, more often than not, compelled by the dynamics of globalization to take measures that negatively impact on the enjoyment of those rights. The result is that states cannot fulfil their international human rights obligations, even if they are desirous of improving the human rights situation in their countries. The critical question is the following: Can international economic forces that are engineered by both state and private actors be unleashed on humanity in a manner that ignores international human rights law?\(^3\)

In 2005, the answer to this searching question was given by the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Housing.

Based on the current trajectory, the future seems bleak for the world’s most vulnerable populations. More than 100 million people

---

30. Adequate Housing, supra note 5, at ¶ 24.
31. Preliminary Report, supra note 4, at ¶ 44.
in the world's poorest countries are projected to be living below the basic subsistence level of a dollar a day by 2015, caught in the poverty trap that is associated with economic globalization's dark side. An in-depth study on the world's 49 least developed countries rejects claims that globalization is beneficial for the poor, arguing that the international trade and economic system is part of the problem, not the solution. Accordingly, the current form of globalization is tightening rather than loosening the international poverty trap. As markets become more entwined, the world economy is becoming increasingly polarized and the least developed countries, particularly their poorest people, are being left behind. It is important to note that this also applies to high-income industrialized countries, where a growing number of households are living below the poverty line due to increasing unemployment, and in many cases a simultaneous decrease of social welfare and social security as a result of reduced public investments.  

Even in Europe, the Fédération Européenne d'Associations Nationales Travailleur avec les Sans-Abris (the European Federation of National Organisations Working with the Homeless, FEANTSA) has raised the issue of the contemporary crisis of housing:

This shockingly inadequate housing is symptomatic of the underlying problem of housing affordability that is growing across Europe. Quite simply, there is no housing available for the limited financial resources that poor and vulnerable groups have at their disposal. This problem of affordability affects all actors—naturally poor people are the most visible victims—but middle-income groups are increasingly affected and NGOs and the state are also feeling the repercussions. . . .

For very poor people the terrible pressure of the housing market increasingly leads to social exclusion and, in some cases, to a situation of social emergency, where people find themselves without resources, reduced to sleeping in the street. . . . Increasing numbers of people sleep in doorways, squats, abandoned buildings and other

places not meant for habitation. What is more, the profile of these people is changing. They are not only the middle aged, often alcoholic men who are associated with rough sleeping and who are often held to be to blame for their own situation. Nowadays, it is frequently women, families with children, migrants and young people who find themselves homeless or in a situation of social and housing emergency. The trigger of their plight is usually housing affordability, leading to a spiral of exclusion, affecting health, employment options, education of children etc. Housing exclusion due to lack of affordable housing also creates an extreme vulnerability to exploitation. It has led to a new and frightening form of housing exploitation: mattress rental by so-called 'sleep dealers.' In effect, exploitative landlords rent out mattresses in overcrowded conditions for eight hour shifts at exorbitant prices. It is migrant workers that are the main victims of such practices.\footnote{Press Release, \textit{European Federation of National Organisations Working with Homeless} (Aug. 2005), available at http://www.feantsa.org/code/en/pg.asp?Page=558.}

\textit{C. Globalization from Below}

The "Battle of Seattle" has been described as the first political crisis of globalization.\footnote{Richard Falk, \textit{Interpreting the Interaction of Global Markets and Human Rights, in Globalization and Human Rights} 61, 61 (Alison Brysk ed., 2002).} The clash was between an economistic view of the future and a normative one. "In effect, it was not globalization that was at issue, but what kind of globalization."\footnote{Id.} "Globalization-from-below" is posited as a counter movement to the hegemony of the Washington Consensus. It involves popular participation at local levels, the building of civil societies, global citizenship, and the enhancement of non-governmental organizations as part of the strengthening over time of the institutional forms and activities associated with global civil society.\footnote{See generally Nigel Dower \& John Williams, \textit{Global Citizenship: A Critical Reader} (2002).} This globalization from below has been profiled as a world composed of several plural worlds.

Certainly, they have a common enemy: the hegemonic globalisation and its agents, even when the choices they outline might present different shapes. They are integrated by peasants’ and students’
organisations, human rights leagues, ecologists' and feminists' associations, movements against war, groups for reclamation of equal access to earth and drinkable water . . . .

Globalization-from-below (often using the Internet), or subaltern globalization, is manifested in such events as the World Social Forum,8 the practice of participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre, Brazil, and other social movements and innovative approaches.9 Indeed, Orly Lobel indicates that "the State itself, lacking control vis-à-vis grander, more obscure and dispersed forces, is merely one out of many actors and vehicles for action. [It is in] Boaventura de Sousa Santos’ terms 'the newest social movement.'"40

Globalization can therefore be seen as a phenomenon promoted by powerful forces with potentially enormous consequences for housing law, policy, and rights.

II. SOME IMPACTS OF GLOBALIZATION ON HOUSING

While globalization or global neoliberalism is multifarious, many of its elements are having a significant impact on housing systems, and consequently on housing law, policy, and especially housing rights. The growth and power of globalized corporations involved in housing; the globalization of property rights, housing finance markets, and the promotion of owner-occupation; globalized real estate investment in housing; the reordering of cities and slums; new roles for the state in relation to housing; and the effect of globalized migrants and refugees all present new and undetermined challenges. Of course, the development of technology, new building materials, and new methods of construction have also brought important changes.

A. Global Corporations and Housing

The diverse elements of housing systems, from building materials, the production of homes, financing, management, exchange, and the creation of contemporary living environments have spawned and nurtured many global corporations. Among the global corporations comprising the Fortune 500 list in 2006, six corporations specialized in building materials and glass, with a further eleven specializing in engineering and construction. Those corporations specializing in house-building included Lennar, D.R. Horton, Centex, KB Home, Toll Brothers, Hovnanian Enterprises, NVR, Beazer Homes USA, MDC Holdings, Ryland Group, Standard Pacific, Meritage Homes, WCI Communities, and William Lyon Homes. There were ten corporations specializing in real estate, including residential real estate. One of these corporations, the RE/MAX Estate Agency, has a global presence with nearly 115,000 agents in more than 65 countries. Some fifty-one corporations were gas and electric utility companies, many having a major impact on housing. Seven of the top five hundred corporations were listed as specializing in furniture for homes. Some thirty corporations were commercial banks, financing house purchase among other activities, led by Citigroup, now located in ninety-eight countries. Clearly, globalized housing corporations have arrived, with their CEOs attending meetings of the Bilderburg Group and other international global organizations. Of course, much housing construction is still traditionally undertaken by local builders with good political connections, local knowledge of market conditions, supplies, and opportunities for development.

B. The Globalization of Property Rights in Housing Systems

A significant development in housing globalization is the preoccupation with property registration systems in land, designed to facilitate markets and housing

---

43. Fluor, Jacobs Engineering, Emcor Group, Peter Kiewit Sons', URS, Shaw Group, Washington Hill Group, CH2M Hill, Granite Construction, Quanta Services, and Perini.
finance systems. Contemporary writers on global development, such as Hernando De Soto,\textsuperscript{46} claim that one of the principal reasons for the underdevelopment of nations is the absence of a property registration system to facilitate mortgage lending, consequently prohibiting the development of personal capital and equity growth in land and housing.\textsuperscript{47} Indeed, recent research by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) confirms the existence of significant housing wealth effects on consumption in the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, the Netherlands, and Australia as a result of increasing housing equity held in owner-occupied homes.\textsuperscript{48}

Shlomo Angel highlights that the development and facilitation of housing markets requires legal instruments and state involvement to create five essential elements: a property rights regime, a housing finance regime, a residential infrastructure regime, a regulatory regime, and a housing subsidies/public housing regime.\textsuperscript{49}

A functional and effective property rights regime must evolve a set of transparent, predictable, non-discriminatory and stable rules that preserve the rights of individuals to use, invest, maintain, rent, mortgage and sell their land and housing properties without hindrance and with the full protection against arbitrary action by the authorities.\textsuperscript{50}

\textsuperscript{46} Hernando De Soto, The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails Everywhere Else 6 (2000).

\textsuperscript{47} Id. But see Land Rights and Innovation: Improving Tenure Security For the Urban Poor (Geoffrey Payne ed., 2002) (challenging De Soto's views on grounds of the cost and pace of formal titling, the existence of a continuum of tenure categories, and the suspicion of the poor toward banks). See generally Christopher Woodruff, Review of de Soto's "The Mystery of Capital," 39 J. Econ. Lit. 1215, 1218 (2001) (suggesting the costs of adjudicating claims may abrogate the gains from titling); Joe Flood, Secure Tenure: Global Definition and Measurement 6 (Paper to European Network of Housing Researchers Conference, Vienna, 2002) (explaining that property and tenure rights in Europe grew from feudal and bourgeois concerns and mobilizing the capital of the middle class and not from any desire to tap the capital controlled by the poor).


\textsuperscript{49} Shlomo Angel, Housing Policy Matters: A Global Analysis 19 (2000). This article does not seek to endorse the World Bank approach which has been widely criticized for its failure to protect human rights and to prevent poverty, inequality and oppression in its promotion of market approaches. See Bretton Woods Project, Home Page, http://www.brettonwoodsproject.org/ (last visited Apr. 3, 2008). The Property Rights Index was developed internationally by the World Bank in 1990, combining the Freedom of Exchange Index and the Land Registration Index.

\textsuperscript{50} See Angel, supra note 49, at 95.
The World Bank stresses that clear and enforceable legally defined property rights provide the necessary infrastructure for the global economy. Indeed, the export of U.S. and European legal systems and property law have played a crucial part in the globalization epoch, providing the means of legitimation for patenting, control, appropriation, and commodification of physical and human resources worldwide. Stefan Andreasson claims that the contemporary international vigor to create property rights in land and housing can be viewed as merely one step beyond the former colonial processes of dispossession of property by force. Such appropriation and commodification, often through appalling means, was once justified in the conception of private property by Lockean writings and later expounded by liberal thinkers.

There is an important link between the essentially liberal project of primitive and capitalist accumulation and liberal thinkers, from John Locke to Friedrich Hayek, and the ideology and policy of international financial institutions managing the global economy today - the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank and the World Trade Organisation (WTO).


52. See generally Marx, supra note 10 (claiming that the formal legal rights of equality, freedom of contract, and property rights were in fact mere masks for what was, in reality, a system of exploitation of wage labor, and which generated the commodification of labor itself and ultimately all human needs. The alienation of people from the products of their labor (in the form of the surplus value appropriated by the capitalists), from each other, and from the society in which they took part, had created a new type of society. On the one hand, capitalism had freed people from the religious and superstitious controls of primitive societies, had broken the fetters of feudal society and permitted scientific development and people’s awareness. On the other hand, it had created alienation, generated awesome poverty and living conditions, and a growing immiseration of the poor, alongside consistent and powerful accumulation of wealth, protected by State-enforced property rights. These property rights were the same as had previously been asserted against monarchs and feudal states by the rising bourgeoisie social movements of the French and American revolutions).


54. Kevin Cahill, Who Owns the World: The Hidden Facts Behind Landownership 33 (2006) (claiming that thirty-five monarchies, including the papacy, rule over one-third of the world’s surface. Monarchical rule exists in fifty-one States and thirty-six colonies and dependencies of the world’s 197 States); id. at 48 (pointing out that instead of redressing these disparities in land ownership, human rights instruments, such as the UN Universal Declaration on Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights, have actually consolidated this unequal ownership by requiring compensation to be paid on confiscation or redistribution).

Clearly, enforceable property rights in land and housing are required for international finance corporations to extend their operations into the potentially enormous markets of the future. However, some are skeptical as to the benefits. "This extension of property rights—both in terms of that to which they apply and the range within which they are applied—further erodes possibilities for broad-based development globally as well as any hope of mitigating increasing global polarisation of wealth and knowledge."56

The relentless ideological drive worldwide toward privatization of public or social housing and the forced creation of owner-occupation leading to a "market," but without a proper registration system, has proven to be ill-judged in the Russian Federation and other countries.57 The registration of titles as the basis for a functioning housing market has created many side effects, chiefly, the exploitation of those without education and awareness of law. Of course, it has been pointed out that the major impacts of these policies have been to destroy communal land and housing management systems, but also to promote neo-liberal approaches, which at the same time undercut the profitability and viability of family-scale agriculture.58 Indeed, the result can be increased landlessness and destitution through mass sell-offs by impoverished new landowners, forced to migrate to cities, leaving a reconcentration in the hands of large landowners.

However, De Soto's approach was endorsed by the World Bank in 2006,59 as its contemporary policies on housing in developing countries have moved further toward market-based approaches.60 The Bank's views on appropriate shelter policies "support[] most (but certainly not all) of the conjectures made about the use of market-friendly policies."61 However, there is now an acceptance by the Bank

56. Id. at 8.
58. See Peter Rosset, The Good, the Bad and the Ugly: World Bank Land Policies, http://www.landaction.org/display.php?article=177 (suggesting that it is significant that the World Bank has not provided funding for similar land distribution policies in Zimbabwe and also that the World Bank policy is in fact a ploy to depoliticize land reform, to remove it from the realm of politics into the realm of the market).
59. Thirty Years of World Bank Shelter Lending—What have We Learned? xvi (Robert M. Buckley & Jerry Kalarickal eds., 2006) [hereinafter Thirty Years of World Bank].
that there is no mysterious, straightforward capitalist panacea that can address all the shelter problems faced by low-income families in developing countries. Instead of just providing titles to facilitate land markets, registration and finance systems, it now accepts that it is necessary to incorporate the views of poor people on how to effectively address their concerns.62

In Europe, the European Land Information Service (EULIS) is developing systems to provide access to property ownership information across borders, using the Internet.63 This is intended to create better conditions for borrowers and lenders within the overall European credit market and the development of a European-wide title system.64 Of course, title registration is complex and involves a hierarchy of interests and charges over the same property, which are sometimes conflicting.65

C. Globalization and Housing Finance

The most obvious impact of globalization on housing relates to the global house price bubble, prompting The Economist to launch its global house-price indices in 2002, covering twenty countries. The total value of residential property in developed economies increased by an estimated $20 trillion to over $60 trillion between 2000 and 2003.66 Calculations by The Economist suggest that house prices have hit record levels in relation to incomes in the United States, Australia, Britain, France, Ireland, the Netherlands, New Zealand, and Spain. This global housing boom is unusual in its "strength, duration and ubiquity."67

In this domain of highly priced housing markets, purchasing power, or (in reality) access to housing finance, has largely displaced any notions of public entitlements or legal rights as the key to housing access. Housing finance availability
depends on developed finance markets, on state interest rate and macro-credit policies, as well as individual criteria for housing loans. Increasingly, these factors are susceptible to global forces. "The capacity of capital to become 'footloose' and for both manufacturing and service activities to re-locate in search of greater profitability creates, in principle, unpredictability and uncertainty for states, institutions, markets and households with respect to, for example, the permanence of employment or the availability of investment resources (including those for housing) or the withdrawal of production." This is better known as the "risk society," described by Giddens and others, where individuals are forced to respond to greater economic risks in their lives. Globalization has been associated with increasing wage inequality and consequent stratification of housing tenure in market housing systems. Lending policies which discriminate against particular groups or individuals, such as nomads, minorities, Roma, and women, are seldom recognized as such, while these policies clearly violate housing rights in the housing finance system. The development of sub-prime mortgage markets (often by major global lenders such as Citigroup), which charge higher-than-normal interest rates to facilitate those without the standardized credit requirements, or without a deposit for house purchase, is a growing feature of housing finance. Indeed, it is the subprime mortgage borrowers at risk from unemployment, illness, interest rate increases, falling prices, or other setbacks, who are most likely to become homeless. Housing rights have a special relevance for this category of homeowners.

Technological developments in housing finance have been dramatic. Electronic and Internet systems have revolutionized the concentration and outsourcing of mortgage brokering and servicing, creating new and more sophisticated services and products, credit scoring, and automated underwriting, as well as


71. See Committee on the Global Financial System, Housing Finance in the Global Financial Market 17 (Bank for International Settlements, CGFS Papers No 26.2006), available at http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs26.htm (pointing out that sub-prime lending, particularly in the United States, has grown rapidly in a period in which an increasing number of borrowers have taken out interest-only or variable rate loans (including some with negative amortization options) and in which house prices have risen. It notes that risk models of financial institutions have in many countries not been tested in a downturn scenario featuring a rapid increase in interest rates and a strong decline in house prices. Thus, there may be a risk that lenders are underestimating households' probability and severity of default).
standardization of documentation and legal structures. Of course, the integration of mortgage providers is a clear response to global pressures of economies of scale, but there remain significant barriers, especially at the European level.

The World Bank has recently described the speed at which market-based housing finance has spread around the world. “Since about 2000, the world changed from one in which most of the world’s population did not have access to mortgage finance to one in which most of the world’s population now lives in countries with a market-based mortgage finance system with generally affordable terms.” Yet, the Bank acknowledges that “[w]hile market-based housing finance is now available to most middle-income people in the world it is still not available in most countries or for the poorest people.” Many people in informal housing situations, such as house renters or squatters, are unable to access housing finance because they have no clear marketable or mortgageable title. Indeed, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) points out that housing finance products directed towards households are still to a large extent provided at a regional or local level.

In Europe, financial market liberalization in mortgage markets has been strongly developed, with the abolition of interest rate ceilings, relaxation of credit
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74. Thirty Years of World Bank, supra note 59, at xii.
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76. U.N. Centre for Human Settlements, Cities in a Globalizing World: Global Report on Human Settlements 2001 at 77–87 (contrasting the view of housing as a right with housing as a function of ability-to-pay or purchase as a commodity in housing markets; nevertheless, it outlines how globalization impacts housing finance).
77. Committee on the Global Financial System, supra note 71, at 23:

However, financial liberalisation has unleashed competitive pressures from global providers, which have forced national and regional players to respond by altering their product offerings, providing better pricing (which requires increased efficiency) and extending the range of services through customisation. At the same time, the liberalisation and resulting globalisation have made capital markets more liquid and efficient, which may have contributed to a shift from a bank-centred system towards a more market-based one. This process is likely to be strengthened further by the implementation of Basel II, which provides a more transparent and market-oriented regulatory framework. At the same time, the implementation of Basel II is expected to lead to a stronger role for rating agencies, in the national as well as the international market for mortgage credit. This trend is likely to be reinforced by the continued growth of secondary mortgage markets, as rating agencies are likely to focus on assessing the credit status of MBSs in order to increase their appeal to international investors. Globalisation of funding may also have been encouraged by the strength of banks’ mortgage lending relative to deposits, forcing intermediaries to fund their business via capital markets.
controls, and the ending of restrictions on entry into mortgage markets. As of 2005, there were €5.1 trillion residential mortgage loans outstanding in the European Union, representing 47 percent of EU Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The size of national mortgage markets, however, varies considerably ranging from €1.4 trillion in the United Kingdom and €1.2 trillion in Germany to €1.3 billion in Slovenia and €1 billion in Bulgaria. Mortgage debt as a percentage of GDP also varies considerably, representing 97 percent and 94 percent of GDP in the Netherlands and Denmark respectively to 5 percent and 6 percent of GDP in Slovenia and Poland. Mortgage debt to GDP ratios have however risen steadily across almost all European Union countries in recent years, reflecting the higher value of household assets as well as rising numbers of mortgage borrowers. This can be attributed to a range of different factors including increasing residential investment, higher income expectations, falling interest rates and favorable tax treatment for mortgage loans.

Of course, the common European currency—the euro, combined with its interest rate policy vested in the European Central Bank, has impacted housing finance markets, while the European Commission is pushing for greater internal market reforms in this area. In the United States, some 56 percent of mortgages are securitized, while in Europe, (EU-15) at end-2004, the level of securitization was around 15 percent of all mortgages.

At a macroeconomic level, the use of interest rates and credit controls have become a common response to the global abolition of currency restrictions and the huge flows and easy mobility of capital (over 80 percent of capital is estimated to be part of speculative flows). Greater integration of housing finance markets with general circuits of finance means that “homeowners are increasingly competing for

---

78. See Michael Ball, RICS European Housing Review 2005 (2005); European Central Bank, Structural Factors in the EU Housing Markets (2003).
81. See Forum Group on Mortgage Credit, supra note 65 (explaining that the European Commission created the Forum Group on Mortgage Credit in 2003 to identify and assess the impact of the barriers to the smooth functioning of the internal market for mortgage credit and make appropriate recommendations to tackle these barriers. One of these recommendations was that the Commission should explore the concept of the Euromortgage, for example by way of a study, to assess its potential to promote EU mortgage credit markets integration).
capital in the same pool as the richest multinational corporations." Clapham points out that the removal of regulations on housing finance as part of the general deregulation of finance markets is credited with the house price volatility in Britain in the 1980s. Similar outcomes for many EU states followed the convergence of currencies into the euro, with a common (and low) interest rate, which took place in the 1990s. Historically low interest rates since 2001 have led to a housing price bubble, encouraging the growth of sub-prime lending to those with poor credit histories or lacking down payments, with many risks to the entire housing valuation system. The 2001 United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (UNCHS) Report, Cities in a Globalizing World, pointed out that while globalization has increased the informal economy, the formal sector commercial financial institutions regularly refuse housing credit to people without permanent employment, collateral, or established credit records. Indeed, the biggest investors in low-income housing worldwide are the poor themselves, with contributions from family and friends.

Global finance competes with local private and state bodies for land in the new globally networked cities. This has an enormous impact on subsequent housing costs and affordability of housing, as well as the location and subsidy levels required for social housing. As the UNCHS has pointed out, "Many cities now acknowledge that the current nature of funding flows and investment capital demands an international urban orientation over and above managing local issues." States are faced with the outcomes of rising market prices where key workers such as teachers and public servants cannot afford to buy housing. In Europe, political pressures from these organized groups have led to various "affordable" housing schemes to enable access to the market by state subsidies on mortgages, land, or purchase prices.

83. See U.N. CENTRE FOR HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, supra note 76, at 78; Terrence McDonough, What Does Long Wave Theory have to Contribute to the Debate on Globalization, 35 Rev. of Radical Pol. Econ. 280, 280–86 (2003).
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effect of course, is to buttress the rising markets, and states are drawn into higher and higher levels of subsidies as the prices of starter homes rise with the market.

D. Globalized Real Estate Investment

Globalized investment and speculation in real estate and housing is pervasive in all major cities of the world. Even at the European level, where markets are well developed, returns (rental income and capital growth) from residential property investment in 2003 were 11.5 percent in the United Kingdom, 10.9 percent in Denmark, 10.7 percent in Portugal and 9.6 percent in Sweden.91

The U.N. Habitat International Coalition has identified a new phenomenon, Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITS), as an emerging part of this development. REITs, originally developed in the United States, are real estate companies listed on the stock exchange.

In France REITs were introduced 2 years ago and this seems to have significant effects on the bubble and giant sales of shares. . . . In Germany it is estimated that the mid-term potential for privatisations and other sales of real estates to REITs is about 100 billion dollars. This figure probably includes all types of public infrastructure like schools etc. In Honkong [sic] after the introduction of REITs there seems to be a real wave of new speculations with consequences on raising rents. Some articles speak about a new beginning speculation bubble in eastern Asia, stimulated by REITs.92

"Similar capitalist groups as in Germany are penetrating markets in Spain, Turkey and elsewhere. In Eastern Asia a new speculation wave is on the run . . . And nearly everywhere public investment on affordable housing gets reduced."93

Another trend in this area is what Habitat International Coalition refers to as the "The Global Fundisation of Housing." In Germany and many other Euro-

pean and post-communist countries, housing conditions are faced with the rapid
direct globalization of the real estate markets. The intensity and quantity of this
process is a new phenomenon and is creating widely undesirable and inequitable
outcomes. International speculative financial capitalists, in particular so called
Private Equity Funds, are invading the housing markets by buying huge housing
stocks and whole companies from their original owners or shareholders. In recent
times, in Germany, nearly half a million flats were sold to international funds.
Most of the affected housing stocks are former social housing or company flats,
which form significant parts of mass housing in Germany.

Another significant development has been the growth of semi-autonomous
"Housing Funds" by states to borrow private finance for housing from the global
capital markets to channel to municipal housing and non-profit companies invest-
ing in social housing. The Housing Fund in Finland, with its Special Purpose
Vehicle based in Ireland, borrows international finance securitized on its social
housing with a state guarantee. In Ireland, the Housing Finance Agency carries
out a similar role, ensuring that such capital housing expenditure does not affect
state obligations to limit public expenditure in line with the EU Growth and Sta-
bility Pact. Other approaches have involved direct borrowing from capital mar-
tkets by non-state social housing providers in lieu of state loans, such as has occurred
in the Netherlands and United Kingdom.

At another level, the development of a housing futures market in the United
States represents a truly global development. The Chicago Mercantile Exchange has
launched trading in U.S. home prices by allowing investors to trade in housing-price
futures based on the median home price in each of 10 U.S. cities. There is to be

94. See Croatian Tenants: Last Victims of the War, GLOBAL TENANT: INTERNATIONAL UNION OF TEN-
ANTS QUARTERLY MAGAZINE, Sept. 2006, at 6 (describing how the International Union of Tenants has
highlighted recent mass evictions in Croatia and many other countries as a result of "development."); see also UNECE Committee of Human Settlements, County Profiles on the Housing Sector, http://
www.unecce.org/hlm/prgm/cph/countries/russia/welcome.htm (discussing Russia's housing reform).
95. See Unger, supra note 92.
96. See Sirpa Tulla, Securitisation and Finance for Social Housing in Finland, 36 URB. STUD.
647-56 (1999) (describing the changes in public finance in Finland).
97. See Hugo Preimus & Jacqueline Smith, Social Housing Investment: Housing Policy and Fi-
ing the changing housing policies and the developing structures of social housing finance); see also
HsgAssoc06c.html.
98. CME Group, S&P/Case-Shiller Home Price Indices Futures and Options: Introductory Guide,
dramatic increase in the last couple of years).
“Electronic Trading Around the Clock, Around the World.” The contracts, which are three and six months in duration, are benchmarked against the National Association of Realtors reported Median Sales Price of Existing Single-Family Homes in Chicago, Los Angeles, Miami, New York City, San Diego, and San Francisco.

E. Reordering of Cities and Slums

Aside from the effects of global capital on housing policies and land prices, globalization is also creating larger, new, physically different city layouts, worldwide. Cities have absorbed nearly two-thirds of the global population explosion since 1950 and are currently growing by a million babies and migrants each week. The global countryside has reached its maximum population (3.2 billion) and will begin to shrink after 2020. As a result, cities will account for all future world population growth, which is expected to peak at about ten billion in 2050. Ninety-five percent of this increase will occur in the urban areas of developing countries, whose population will double to nearly four billion over the next generation.

A new structural phenomenon has been identified within the world’s cities (expected to number 358 with a population of more than one million people by 2015). A new constellation of globally networked cities, sometimes referred to as the “urban archipelago,” is emerging. These cities may have more relations with other cities than with their hinterlands. However, the housing situation within many of the new cities was adversely affected by World Bank policies, as Davis points out:
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104. See U.N. Centre for Human Settlements, supra note 76; Davis, supra note 100, at 8 (pointing out that Urbanists also speculate about the processes weaving together Third World cities into extraordinary new networks, corridors and hierarchies. For example, the Pearl River (Hong Kong–Guangzhou) and the Yangtze River (Shanghai) deltas, along with the Beijing–Tianjin corridor, are rapidly developing into urban-industrial megalopolises comparable to Tokyo–Osaka, the lower Rhine, or New York–Philadelphia. But this may only be the first stage in the emergence of an even larger structure: ‘a continuous urban corridor stretching from Japan/North Korea to West Java.’ Shanghai, almost certainly, will then join Tokyo, New York and London as one of the ‘world cities’ controlling the global web of capital and infor-
The 1980s, when the IMF and World Bank used the leverage of debt to restructure the economies of most of the Third World, are the years when slums became an implacable future, not just for poor rural migrants, but also for millions of traditional urbanites, displaced or immiserated by the violence of ‘adjustment.’

... The pain of adjustment should have been followed by the analgesic of globalization. Indeed the 1990s, as Slums wryly notes, were the first decade in which global urban development took place within almost utopian parameters of neoclassical market freedom.105

In the globalized cities, there are “mosaics of growing inequality” within the “global archipelago of interconnected city cores.”106 The combination of new information technologies and socio-economic restructuring is reshaping cities and regions and is ushering in new urban forms, with deprivation and poor housing locations also distinguished by under-investment in such technology and services.107 “In many developing cities, high quality ICT infrastructures are being packaged through entrepreneurial planning, public subsidies and defensive urban design, into industrial parks for international firms and ‘Euro-American’ style gated residential enclaves for social and economic elites.”108

Of course, the development of these new world cities involves demolitions and evictions:

The [U.N.] Special Rapporteur notes with grave concern that Governments continue the practice of mass evictions in cities as a means of creating ‘world-class cities,’ lured by the prospect of international investment. Economic globalization has created competition amongst cities that is to the detriment of the poor. The example of


106. U.N. CENTRE FOR HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, supra note 76, at 9, 10.


Mumbai, India, is very recent. Between December 2004 and January 2005, 80,000 homes were demolished rendering 300,000 people homeless. For the vast majority of those evicted there was no advance notice, the evictions were violently carried out, and the belongings, including identity cards, of many were damaged or burnt. Those evicted have not been offered alternative accommodation, clearly exacerbating the situation of homelessness in Mumbai. The Chief Minister explained these brutal demolitions as the only way to create a “world-class” city in the future. 109

It is significant that the U.N. Millennium Development Goals includes the aim of achieving “significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers by the year 2010.” 110

Don Mitchell points out that the right to the city manifests itself as a superior form of rights—a right to freedom, to individualization in socialization, to habitat, and to inhabit. 111 Indeed “the right to inhabit implies a right to housing... Simply guaranteeing the right to housing may not be sufficient to guaranteeing a right to the city, although it is a necessary step toward guaranteeing that right.” 112 The right to housing is one form of appropriation of the city and very different from a right to property. 113 Property rights are “an embodied alienation backed up by violence” and are necessarily exclusive, i.e., “possession of a property right allows its possessor to exclude unwanted people from access.” 114 This act of expulsion frequently involves the power of the state. 115 This important distinction between property rights and housing rights, which accepts some commonalities on the one hand, but which delves into deeper distinctions on the other, is valuable.

---


110. The United Nations Millennium Declaration recognizes the dire circumstances of the world’s urban poor. It articulates the commitment of Member States to improve the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers by the year 2020. UN Millennium Project, Goals, Targets and Indicators, http://www.unmillenniumproject.org/goals/gti.htm (last visited May 30, 2008). As large as 100 million may seem, however, it is only ten percent of the present worldwide slum population, which, left unchecked, will multiply threefold to three billion by the year 2050. Id.
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F. A Minimal State and Privatization

Globalization is underpinning the pull of the market in terms of international finance, pressure on space, the reordering of major cities, and in the ideology of the market gaining more and more impact in housing law and policy arenas. Susan Strange points out that there are many reasons for the decline of state power in the era of globalization; among these are technology, through which transfers of information and resources can take place in seconds, and the power of international finance.116 George Soros and others have pointed out that the role of the modern state, at the behest of the World Bank, international corporations, and the OECD, involves reducing public services and taxation on profits, although consumption taxes and service charges are increased.117 The welfare state is under siege even where it traditionally has been defended, and governments have had to shift the tax burden primarily onto wage incomes and consumer spending.118 “The requirements of attracting international capital take precedence over the fulfilment of other social objectives.”119 The conflicting forces between investment capital needed for long term investment with international, unfettered, high-turnover financial capital creates major problems for the management of national economies and state policies. The growth of the neo-liberal model of the globalized state, acting as a minimalist direct service provider, but increasingly and effectively as a powerful controller in the social and workplace spheres, has important consequences for housing law, policy, and rights.

Gavin W. Anderson, in his examination of constitutional rights and globalization, points out that “globalization is effecting a shift of authority from the state to the actors and institutions of the global economy.”120 He claims that one of its dominant features was to

118. See Fritz Scharpf, Globalisation and the Political Economy of Capitalist Democracies, in The Global Transformations Reader, supra note 3, at 370; Stuart Hall, Cosmopolitan Promises, Multicultural Realities, in Divided Cities 20 (Richard Scholar ed., 2006). Hall points out that the forces of globalization have partly caused and greatly exacerbated the social and physical condition of slum dwellers. Dominant 'neo-liberal' economic doctrines have explicitly demanded an increase in inequality, including the reduction of all government welfare spending, the privatization of everything that the state controlled, the reform of regulation, and the removal of planning restrictions.
119. Soros, supra note 117, at 84.
supplant Keynesian ideas based on the imperative of state intervention with neo-classical economic ideas of the minimal state and the deregulated market. Having developed first in the UK and US in the 1980s, neo-liberal policies such as fiscal constraint, free trade, reduced welfare spending and lower taxation, were soon endorsed by governments across the industrialised world, in the transitional economies of Latin America and Central and Eastern Europe, and also in the developing world. Accordingly, by the end of the 1990s, one could identify a worldwide shift 'away from an emphasis on state economic management and service provision, to an ethos of “privatism” in the provisioning and regulation of social and economic life.”

Joe Doherty and others at the FEANTSA Observatory point out that globalization at a general level

refers to the tendency for economics and national political systems to become integrated on a global scale and is closely aligned with a neo-liberal ideology which champions privatisation and deregulation of the market. ... Politically it is associated with the weakening of nation states, involving a ‘hollowing out’ of state activities and responsibilities, resulting in an apparent decline in political and social autonomy and freedom of action. The hollowing out of the state envisages the divesting of state responsibilities ‘upwards’ to supra-national organisations (such as the EU), the ‘downward’ transfer of responsibilities to local government and quasi-state organization, and the dispersion ‘outwards’ to non-state agencies such as NGOs and the voluntary sector, as well as to private, for-profit enterprises.122

The changed and market-oriented role of the state is reflected in housing law and policy in Europe. Mark Kleinman points out that in recent times, housing policy and legislation among almost all countries, including many hitherto large-scale state providers, has adopted a bifurcated approach.123 Both law and policy
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have developed along two paths, which appear to diverge further over time. The primary policy consideration is to facilitate effective market operation, ensuring the exchange of housing, land planning use, access to mortgage finance, sustainable equity, etc. The other part of housing law and policy "relates to the circumstances of the disadvantaged, who are badly housed or homeless, whose prospects of future betterment are uncertain, and whose residential segregation, in many cases, compounds social and economic inequality. . . . 'Housing policy,' as defined in this narrow way is thus mainly concerned with social housing (including its privatisation)."

On the other hand, the maintenance of owner-occupation as a route to social stability and the normalization of property ownership have become the predominant force in housing policy and law.

Despite the rhetoric about the fight against social exclusion, the reality is that the European political economy is now founded in practice on the acceptance at a more or less permanent level, of a continuing divide between the haves and the have-nots in each country. In housing policy, this underlying belief finds expression in the retreat of national governments from responsibility for achieving more equal outcomes. As the divide grows, policy bifurcates between, on the one hand, measures to maintain market stability for the majority, either in terms of mass owner-occupation or a more balanced private renting/owner-occupation split, and, on the other hand, measures to alleviate some of the worst excesses for the poor, while transferring responsibility from national to local, or even community level.125

However, a FEANTSA Report in 2005 shows that while the role of the state in the housing markets of Europe has changed, the nature of that change varies from country to country.126

Where states were once 'providers' they now increasingly adopt the role of 'enablers', where they had little history of involvement, their new roles take on a demonstrable 'support the market' function.

124. Id. at 242-43.
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This ‘surrender’ of housing to the market (with and without the intervention of civil society organisations) is well illustrated in the declining and changing role of social housing provision. . . .

. . . [T]he problems of curtailed supply have been compounded by the increasing commercialisation of housing associations and housing companies and their consequent adoption of financial ‘risk-avoidance’ strategies which have the effect of drawing social housing away from a focus on the most deprived and at-risk households; the vetting of tenants for ‘reliability’ and the use of eviction orders are symptomatic of this process. The emergence and expansion of ‘innovative’ schemes such as ‘shared’ and ‘low cost’ home ownership—all invariably involving some private market ‘partnership’—provide only a limited alternative to social housing for those with some equity to invest, and no alternative to the most vulnerable. . . .

. . . [T]he targeting of welfare and the extension of means-testing for access to benefits further marginalise and make access to affordable and adequate housing for some vulnerable households more difficult. In the polarisation of Europe between the ‘included’ and the ‘excluded,’ access to affordable and adequate housing is critical.127

G. The Rise of “Governance,” Networks, and New Patterns of Law

The creation of the IMF and World Bank exerted influence beyond economic arenas, defining new roles for the state and promoting “governance.”128 This concept has been traced to the Bretton Woods conference and the creation of a new and different approach to the state, law, and democracy from previous traditional and classical democratic principles.129 Santos points out that a report by the Trilat-
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eral Commission in 1975 on democracies helped to pave the way for a shift "from legitimacy to governability; from governability to governance." This was further advanced by the World Bank in 1994 with its policies of shifting responsibility for the provision of social goods from the state to the market.

"Governance" describes a hybridity of private and public law and institutions, less distinction between formality and informality, and an overall approach within a state in a given area that is oriented towards efficiency and output. In this framework, although based on constitutional and other law, "[l]egality is not an expression of democratic self-determination and liberal respect for individual rights," but rather an instrument for achieving certain (economic) aims. Governance involves the state and private actors, such as corporations and NGOs, working together. The development of policies and "soft law" measures takes the place of traditional government, which was based on parliamentary debate, legislation, and legal regulation. There is a shift from legal regulation to effective self-regulation for corporations, as a result of the speed and complexity of modern investment, production, and exchange, and to the weak role of states with the presumed need for "expert" specialist monitoring and "enforcement" agencies.

This process is reflected in the more recent shift within the European Union from lawmaking through directives and regulations to "governance" through new methods, such as the Common Objectives, Open Method of Coordination, National Action Plans, etc., in such areas as employment policy, health policy, and social policy. Significantly, large corporations are also taking part in consulta-
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tion processes within the new "governance" on the same level as small NGOs and individuals, identified innocuously as "stakeholders," from where far-reaching policies and plans are prepared. Anderson points out, "[o]ne of the paradoxes of the present age is the extent to which states have created the machinery for limiting their capacity to intervene in economic affairs."

Indeed, Anderson claims that lawyers have come late to this globalization debate, although Philip Alston asserted in 1997 that "[i]nternational lawyers have, in many respects, served as the handmaidens of the changes wrought by globalization." International law and, indeed, human rights law has been premised on the existence and sovereignty of the state, but in reality the world now belongs to multinational corporations. Concurrently, the development of "transgovernmentalism," where individual elements of states (or civil servants) interact directly with their counterparts abroad, is creating a new order. A new technocratic elite deals with important issues, but operates not through law or rights, but through sharing information, developing common principles and soft law measures at the sub-national level across states.

Santos claims that these developments involve the silencing of critical theory, creating a new politically disempowering terminology. The governance approach
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135. It is worth pointing out that the EU was founded to control the use of coal and steel for armaments, to prevent further inter-European wars and to facilitate trade and development of corporations across Europe. Indeed, the greatest part of EU law—Regulations, Directives, etc.—relates to standardization of products and services, thus assisting Europe-wide corporations to trade more easily.
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offers alternatives to these silenced concepts which define themselves by opposition to the legitimacy concepts; “rather than social transformation, problem-solving; rather than popular participation, selected-in stakeholders’ participation; rather than social contract, self regulation; rather than social justice, positive sum games and compensatory policies; rather than power relations, coordination and partnership; rather than social conflict, social cohesion and stability of flows.”

He identifies seven types of legal developments within global perspectives. These types are the transnationalization of nation-state law; the development of legal regimes of regional integration; transnational commercial regulation (*lex mercatoria*); the “law of people on the move” (migrants, refugees, and displaced persons); law of indigenous peoples; human rights and global law embodied in the idea of a common heritage of mankind. Santos sees the first three as relating to global capitalism and the last four as providing foci for cosmopolitan, anti-hegemonic, and utopian legalities.

Francis Snyder describes the impact of globalization on legal theory, law, and the governance of globalization evolving into five areas. These involve, firstly, contracts between states, companies, etc., consecrated into international agreements and, secondly, multilevel governance (such as in the European Union) and federal states. Thirdly, the growing level of international public and private networks in all areas raises problems for national democracy and especially regulation. Fourthly, *lex mercatoria*, the modern law of trade, is a mix of public and private authority, involving reflexive law or a form of regulated self-regulation. A fifth area of global governance is defined by Snyder as sites of global legal pluralism, which are unique to globalization and may be public, private, or hybrid with an emphasis on strategic action. The internationalization of human rights is an example of global governance, as is the social construction of the “non-market economy.”

National legal fields become internationalized in two ways. First, national legal and political arenas are increasingly influenced by external factors. Second, “domestic” decisions or laws are actually conditioned, shaped, or made elsewhere as transnational legal regimes penetrate national legal fields. The growth of trans-

---

141. See Santos, supra note 131, at 35.
143. See Twining, supra note 142, at 240.
national networks based on “soft law” with uncertain legal status and the growing concept of global legal pluralism are some outcomes of globalization.  

While acknowledging the difficulties in creating one accepted definition of globalization, Robert McCorquodale and Richard Fairbrother point out that “globalization has thus been transformative in terms of a reconceptualization of state sovereignty within both international relations and international law.” They posit that there are a number of opportunities to develop a rights approach and corporate social responsibility within the international treaties and the WTO, in the IMF policy on good governance, and in the activities of transnational corporations.

All of this has a major impact on the advancement of housing rights. Governments, as well as minorities within a state, are marginalized as power is transferred to bureaucrats and special interest groups, together with the increasing privatization of public functions. The ability of governments to protect human rights, even when there are constitutional and legal provisions enforced by an independent judiciary, is becoming more restricted in the development of integrated housing markets.

Yet, it is widely acknowledged that the national state remains essential for providing the legal, economic, and social infrastructure for global capitalism and enabling markets to flourish. Of course, the state’s role in allocating resources, dealing with social goods, and protecting human rights are all increasingly sublimated to the market in this era of neo-liberal globalization. At the heart of this problem lie important questions about how globalization has changed the nature of the relationship of markets to the state, creating a democratic deficit and necessitating new roles for administrative law. Equally, the growth of New Public Management (NPM) within public services since the 1980s raises fundamental, and as yet unresolved, questions about traditional administrative law relationships to contemporary human rights development.

Yet, the Foundation for Global Governance posits that a “global civic ethic”
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should be developed, reinforced by the belief that governance should be underpinned by democracy at all levels and ultimately by the rule of enforceable law.\textsuperscript{152}

\textit{H. Globalization's Effects on Housing Policy}

The impact of globalization on housing policy has been enormous. Peter Marcuse has pointed out that globalization has accentuated a recommodification of housing, and in Europe a reversal of the post-War trend of public housing for the "broad masses of the population."\textsuperscript{153} Cross-border mobility of capital and higher-echelon labor is creating demand for exclusive high-end developments, separated physically and socially from working class and poor housing. Large-scale international real estate investment facilitates the substitution of private for public initiatives in land use, planning, and housing at an ever increasing scale. Globalization increases pressures in the direction of deregulation and withdrawal of government subsidies as a matter of general public policy, with ideological opposition to expansive social welfare programs. There is a stimulation of competition among cities, which puts a premium on attracting and serving high-end businesses and residences and discourages social welfare approaches. In the former socialist countries, the handling of issues of legal tenure and property rights have undermined existing housing arrangements without substituting anything better and rest on fundamental misconceptions of what property rights mean. Most importantly, globalization creates income polarization effects that increase the difference between the housing conditions of the best housed and the worst housed (and homeless) and lead to a pattern of segregation, walling, fortification, and citadelization. This is one of the most egregious impacts of globalization on housing and living conditions generally. The ultimate symbols are the citadels of housing in the hills of São Paolo—gated communities reached only by helicopters.\textsuperscript{154} David Clapham points out that globalization involves the reorientation of


\textsuperscript{154} It is estimated that eight out of every ten new urban residential developments in the United States are gated. \textit{Land Use Law, Housing and Social and Territorial Cohesion} 5 (Juli Ponce Sole ed., trans., 2006).
national governments in a climate where regulation and control are increasingly
difficult. The danger of a flight of capital and jobs constrains taxation and pub-
lic expenditure policies, resulting in reduced housing expenditure. This has ac-
companied an emphasis on targeted spending, "value for money" approaches, and
the privatization of state-owned housing. Regional economic imbalances arising
from policies of flexible labor markets and freedom to locate, given to corpora-
tions, have resulted in housing shortages in some areas and surpluses in others.
The flexible labor market approach increases the risk of episodes of poverty, with
housing systems unable to respond adequately. Increased risk in the labor market
has been transferred to housing through the deregulated housing finance system.
Indeed, the role of planning is increasingly seen as merely supporting the housing
market, even an overheated housing market. There is an emphasis on the supply
of new housing in areas of economic growth and consequent high demand.

James Kushner claims that worldwide ethnic and class-based segregation in
residential areas is increasing. This takes place against a background of anti-
discrimination laws, inclusionary land use and housing policies, subsidized supply
of new housing, affirmative action programs, and planning design policies. While
segregation was often installed in the past by government policy, it is maintained
today through exclusionary zoning, housing cost-inflation, land use controls, and
the invisible hand of freedom of choice. None of the existing, enlightened, State,
strategic, and legal approaches are likely to significantly reduce or reverse the
worldwide pattern of worsening ethnic and class-based segregation. There is a
recognition that social cohesion or social inclusion can be incorporated into legis-
lation, as in the case of Valencia in Spain.

In the United States and many other countries, the growth of low density
suburban housing known as urban sprawl has led to major subsidies for infra-
structure and services, as well as major environmental costs. The zoning of such
detached family homes in suburban areas has led to segregation with poorer
households confined to inner city areas in the United States. This is motivated by
a desire to "protect the aesthetics and property values of established areas or by
economic class bias or racial discrimination." Giddens developed the concept of

155. Clapham, supra note 84, at 55.
156. Id.
United States, in Land Use Law, Housing and Social and Territorial Cohesion, supra note 154.
159. See E.H. Ziegler, Urban Sprawl, Social Cohesion and Zoning Discrimination in the United
States, in Land Use Law, Housing and Social and Territorial Cohesion, supra note 154.
a "reflexive modernity," where in late modernity intensified globalization provides the individual with a range of imagery and information on models of citizenship, styles of consumption, modes of communication, etc. One of the consequences has been an individually increased expectation of personal fulfillment and satisfaction, often reflected in housing consumption and the lifestyle and status nature of certain housing types and locations. The requirements of individuals tend to be expressed more and more as individual consumer choices, fashioned to individual lifestyles, in both private and public housing. Housing policy, and indeed social commentary on housing, is expressed in market-based consumer jargon, reflecting the contemporary hegemony of the commodity and consumer aspects of housing provision and distribution. Globalization poses considerable problems for the traditional social-democratic models of housing policy, which involve equality and community through state action. For instance, bureaucratic allocation systems do not have the ability to take into account the full scope of households' wants and needs or to be able to accept households' own definitions of what those needs are.

I. Movements of People

Stuart Hall attributes increased migration to the consequences of globalization:

Migration is increasingly the joker in the globalization pack, the subterranean circuit connecting the crisis of one part of the global system with the growth rates and living standards of the other. The logic of globalization says that every element of growth must be free to move fluidly across every regulative boundary, including that of the nation-state: capital, investment, commodities, technologies, currencies, profits, cultural messages, and images all flow.

160. GIDDENS, supra note 6, at 153.
163. Clapham, supra note 84, at 67.
164. Hall, supra note 118, at 34.
One major impact on states has been the rise in numbers of refugees and asylum seekers and their awareness of life in other states. James Goldston points out:

In recent years, while globalization has resulted in increased migration across national borders, the primary avenues for obtaining citizenship—birth on a country’s territory (*jus soli*), descent from a citizen (*jus sanguinis*), and naturalization—have not changed. As a result, growing numbers of persons—as many as 175 million worldwide—are not citizens of the countries in which they reside.165

There are currently an estimated 10.4 million refugees and as many as 25 million uprooted civilians in their own countries, so-called internally displaced persons.166 Hall states:

Seeking by whatever means—legal or illegal—to escape the consequences of globalization and the new world order, they move along uncharted routes, secrete themselves in the most inhospitable interstices, mortgage their worldly goods to the human traffickers, seal life-threatening contracts with gang-masters and pimps, and exploit their lateral family connections in order to subvert the physical barriers, legal constraints, and immigration regimes that metropolitan powers are vigorously putting in place. These are the overspill of the global system, the world’s surplus populations, the *sans-papiers* of the modern metropolis, who slip across borders at the dead of night or stow away in the backs of lorries or under trains and silently disappear into the hidden depths of the city. This is the human face of the new globalization ‘from below’. The global cities of the developed world are the sluice-gates of this new tidal movement.167

While capital and currencies are on the move, there remains a requirement on the nation-state to impose strict controls on labor (e.g., wage levels, working conditions, and employment rights) at the national level to facilitate international corporations. Although some migrant workers are permitted, such as those sea-

sonal and casual workers, this exception does not undermine the general model of national-state controls on labor. Hall also states that:

in spite of the logic of the system, there has been an unprecedented explosion in the largely unplanned movement of peoples across the globe. Whether fleeing the consequences of mass poverty, malnutrition, and unemployment in search of better economic or personal opportunities, or displaced by political violence, regime change, persecution, religious conflict, ethnic cleansing, or civil war, those people stigmatized as 'economic migrants', refugees, and asylum-seekers now constitute the homeless multitudes of the modern metropolitan city. 168

A significant aspect of this migration and its consequences for housing rights relates to women. In the book Global Woman: Nannies, Maids and Sex Workers in the New Economy, 169 Barbara Ehrenreich and Arlie Hochschild point out:

Thanks to the process we loosely call "globalization," women are on the move as never before in history. In images familiar to the West from television commercials for credit cards, cell phones, and airlines, female executives jet about the world, phoning home from luxury hotels and reuniting with eager children in airports. But we hear much less about a far more prodigious flow of female labor and energy: the increasing migration of millions of women from poor countries to rich ones, where they serve as nannies, maids, and sometimes sex workers. . . .

. . . Overall, half of the world's 120 million legal and illegal migrants are now believed to be women. 170

For these migrants, housing is intimately connected to their employment within the home of others, and they are vulnerable to homelessness or unemployment.

Almost half a million people annually apply to European states seeking asy-

168. Id. at 35.
170. Id. at 2, 5.
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The situation of migrants in Europe has been described by Gerald P. Daly as one where the barrier of citizenship increasingly justifies discrimination and exclusion. "Across Western Europe . . . migrants are [being] segregated, confined to menial jobs and relegated to the worst housing in the least desirable districts of large cities. A growing polarisation is evident between average citizens and those without full rights."

Whereas national states dealt with asylum and refugees issues separately, there is now a developed EU-wide policy in relation to asylum seekers. However, not all EU states have adopted this directive. European and other states have accepted obligations under the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its Protocol to take a share of the world’s refugees who are fleeing from persecution and violence. The long-term housing issues are problematic and there are varying levels of protection. In an earlier publication, I mentioned that there are now eight levels of protection of housing rights in the European Union depending on the status of the person involved. Indeed, it is significant that the EU Race Directive prohibiting discrimination on ethnic or race grounds in areas such as housing does not apply to noncitizens of EU states.

At the same time, however, the Council of Europe has voiced considerable

176. Jenna, supra note 134.
177. Article 3(2) states that coverage does not include “difference of treatment based on nationality and is without prejudice to provisions … relating to entry into and residence of third country national and stateless persons on the territory of Member States.” See Council Directive 2000/43, 2000 O.J. (L180) 22, 24 (EC).
concern over the plight of irregular migrants. In 2000, the Council of Europe Committee of Ministers adopted a Recommendation on the Right to Satisfaction of Basic Material Needs of Persons in Situations of Extreme Hardship. This acknowledged that "the satisfaction of basic human material needs (as a minimum: food, clothing, shelter, and basic medical care) is a requirement intrinsic to the dignity of every human being and constitutes the condition for the existence of all human beings and their well-being."

III. What are Housing Rights?

A. Introduction to Housing Rights

The concept of housing as a human right is established in many international human rights instruments and has generated a body of jurisprudence and literature. At a minimum, housing rights are rooted in the concept of human dignity. Housing rights are seen as an integral part of economic, social, and cultural rights within the United Nations, European, Inter-American, and African human rights instruments. Aspects of housing rights are regularly adjudicated in courts through-

181. Religious groups often discuss housing rights in relation to natural law rights. In Genesis 9:6, the image of God in man is stated. The emphasis on kavod in Jewish thought is fully paralleled by the western and eastern Christian reference to the Imago Dei concept in relation to the concept of human dignity. Of course, the Imago Dei, or image of God, is to be found in earlier Mesopotamia and in ancient Egypt. See Dietrich Ritschl, Can Ethical Maxims Be Derived From Theological Concepts of Human Dignity?, in The Concept of Human Dignity in Human Rights Discourse 87, 91 (David Kretzmer & Eckert Klein, eds., 2002) (discussing how human dignity as a concept derived from theology, and plays an important role in ethics).
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out the world,184 including in the United States,185 with contemporary South African jurisprudence in this area attracting keen academic interest.186 At a national level, at least 40 percent of the world’s constitutions refer to housing or housing rights.187

Scott Leckie points out that since the 1990s, the international legal, conceptual, practical, and philosophical evolution of housing rights has provided a solid legal basis for future development.188 The Cold War is now more than a decade in the past, and it is therefore legitimate to place socio-economic rights, such as housing rights, alongside long-revered civil and political rights. The Vienna Declaration (1993) states that both sets of rights are “universal, indivisible, interdependent and interrelated. The international community must treat human rights globally in a fair and equal manner, on the same footing and with the same emphasis.”189

Clarification on the contents and obligations of housing rights, including the concepts of minimum core obligations, progressive realization of rights according to available resources, and violations of rights are now widely understood and accepted.190 Indeed, Leckie claims that the international legal foundations of the human


187. Legal Resources, supra note 180, at 45, 60–71. It is important to note that housing rights are legally guaranteed at the national level in areas of property law, family law, landlord/tenant law, succession law, law of equity and trusts, consumer law, and other areas of law.

188. Id. at 1.


190. See Adequate Housing, supra note 5. Much work has been carried out on defining the specific rights contained in the Covenant especially by the U.N. Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in its Conclusions and Reports. See generally Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, http://www.unhchr.ch/html/menu2/b/cesr.htm (listing examples of Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights documents); Philip Alston & Gerard Quinn, The Nature and Scope of States Parties’ Obligations
right to adequate housing are inherent in a multi-faceted global social contract, designed to ensure access to a secure, adequate, and affordable home for all people in all countries.191

B. The United Nations Instruments

Since 1948, most states across the world have accepted that their citizens have housing and other rights. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) of 1948, adopted by almost all states, recognizes rights to housing. Article 25 states:

(1) Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.192

Similarly, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), of 1966, now ratified by almost 150 states (though not the United States), recognizes the right to housing.193 Article 11 states:

Under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 9 Hum. RTS. Q. 156 (1987) (arguing, while continuing to endorse the argument that more effective inclusion of economic, social, and cultural rights within the community of human rights is fundamentally important, that the original promise of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights that human dignity should be pursued in light of both the overarching purposes and the underlying values of human rights protection, rather than under the constraint of false dichotomies); Jack Donnelly & Rhoda E. Howard, Assessing National Human Rights Performance: A Theoretical Framework, 10 Hum. RTS. Q. 214 (1988) (narrowing the list of rights concerning which it is necessary to gather data by establishing a theoretical framework for assessing a state’s human rights performance by identifying a set of ten essential rights that are separately, intrinsically essential and together provide good proxies for almost all other rights); Dipak K. Gupta et al., Creating a Composite Index for Assessing Country Performance in the Field of Human Rights: Proposal for a New Methodology, 16 Hum. RTS. Q. 131 (1994) (providing a new methodology for attributing weights to the various indicators of human rights abuse to achieve a more objective measurement of human rights performance).

The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone to an adequate standard of living for himself and his family, including adequate food, clothing and housing, and to the continuous improvement of living conditions. The States Parties will take appropriate steps to ensure the realization of this right, recognizing to this effect the essential importance of international cooperation based on free consent.\(^\text{194}\)

States are required to recognize housing rights, use the maximum of available resources to achieving progressively the full realization of these rights, meet “minimum core” obligations, ensure nondiscrimination, and enact legislative measures and appropriate policies geared to a progressive realization of these rights.\(^\text{195}\) General Comment No. 4: The Human Right to Adequate Housing sets out the elements of adequate housing forming the minimum core guarantees which, under public international law, are legally vested in all persons.\(^\text{196}\) General Comment No. 7: The Right to Adequate Housing seeks to prohibit forced evictions that result in individuals being rendered homeless or vulnerable to the violation of other human rights.

\(^{194}\) ICESCR, supra note 193, art. 11(1).

\(^{195}\) The State’s domestic obligations under the ICESCR Covenant are set out in Art 2:

Each State Party to the present Covenant undertakes to take steps, individually and through international assistance and co-operation, especially economic and technical, to the maximum of its available resources, with a view to achieving progressively the full realization of the rights recognized in the present Covenant by all appropriate means, including particularly the adoption of legislative measures. The States’ Parties to the present Covenant undertake to guarantee that the rights enunciated in the present Covenant will be exercised without discrimination of any kind as to race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.” ICESCR, supra note 193, art. 2(2). See also Alston & Quinn, supra note 190, at 65.

\(^{196}\) See ECOSOC, Comm. on Econ. Soc. and Cult. Rights, General Comment No. 4: The Human Right to Adequate Housing, ¶ 8, U.N. Doc. E/1992/23 (1991). These elements are legal security of tenure, availability of services, materials and infrastructure, affordable housing, habitable housing, accessible housing, housing in a suitable location, and housing constructed and sited in a way which is culturally adequate. Id.
rights. Other General Comments refer to housing rights in the context of people with disabilities, older people, health rights, and other areas.

The U.N. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) refers to State obligations to ensure equality in relation to the right to bank loans, mortgages, and other forms of financial credit. It promotes women's rights to enjoy adequate living conditions, particularly in relation to housing, sanitation, electricity, water supply, transport, and communications. There is a powerful argument that an understanding of women's actual housing experiences must inform a definition of women's right to housing.

Despite the fact that, worldwide, women are the primary users of housing and are therefore the most affected by housing, women have been excluded from virtually every aspect of the housing process, be it policy development, planning and design, ownership, construction and even housing movements. And so, though the house is a 'woman's place,' in most communities she is not permitted to control it.

197. According to paragraphs 10–16, where those affected are unable to provide for themselves, the State Party must take all appropriate measures, to the maximum of its available resources, to ensure that adequate alternative housing, resettlement, or access to productive land, as the case may be, is available. ECOSOC, Comm. on Econ., Soc. and Cult. Rights, General Comment No. 7: The Right to Adequate Housing: Forced Evictions, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/1997/10 (May 14, 1997); see also Leilani Farha, Is There a Woman in the House? Women and the Right to Adequate Housing (1998) http://cesr.org/filestore2/download/480/womenhousing.pdf.


202. CEDAW, supra note 201, art. 13.

203. Id. at art. 14(2).

204. Farha, supra note 197, at 6.
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The Limburg Principles (1986)\textsuperscript{205} and the Maastricht Guidelines (1997)\textsuperscript{206} have defined further the requirements of effective implementation of socio-economic rights and the nature and appropriate remedies for violations.\textsuperscript{207} The Maastricht Guidelines recommend that any person or group who is a victim of a violation of an economic, social, or cultural right (including housing rights) should have access to effective judicial or other appropriate remedies at both national and international levels.\textsuperscript{208} Of course, the violation of the right to adequate housing may have different meanings for women and men.

A majority of the world's 1 billion people living in conditions of absolute poverty are women. They make up the plurality of the world's 50,000 inhabitants who die daily from disease resulting from inadequate housing. . . . An average of 50-60\% of all homeless women report that they are homeless because they are fleeing domestic violence. Eighty-one per cent of all homeless women have at some time in their lives experienced either sexual assault or physical abuse, and 65\% reported physical violence by a current partner . . . .\textsuperscript{209}

\textsuperscript{205} A group of distinguished experts in international law, convened by the International Commission of Jurists, the Faculty of Law of the University of Limburg (Maastricht, the Netherlands), and the Urban Morgan Institute for Human Rights, University of Cincinnati (Ohio, US), met in Maastricht on June 2-6, 1986, to consider the nature and scope of the obligations of States Parties to the ICESCR, the consideration of States Parties reports by the newly constituted ECOSOC Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and international cooperation under Part IV of the Covenant. The twenty-nine participants came from Australia, the Federal Republic of Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Senegal, Spain, United Kingdom, United States of America, Yugoslavia, the UNCHS, ILO, UNESCO, WHO, the Commonwealth Secretariat, and the sponsoring organizations. ECOSOC, Comm. on Human Rights, \textit{The Limburg Principles on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, U.N. Doc. E/CN.4/1987/17, Annex (1987).} See also \textit{The Limburg Principles on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights, 9 Hum Rts. Q. 122 (1987) [hereinafter Limburg Principles].}


\textsuperscript{207} The Habitat International Coalition Housing and Land Rights Network has also developed a Toolkit in cooperation with the Special Rapporteur on Adequate Housing, Miloon Kothari, to provide a more comprehensive and community-based monitoring instrument for assessing the realization, or violations, of housing and land rights. This initiative identifies rights-sensitive indicators for monitoring the realization of the right to adequate housing. See Housing and Land Rights Network, \textit{Toolkit, http://toolkit.hlrn.org/} (last visited Apr. 3, 2008).

\textsuperscript{208} \textit{Maastricht Guidelines, supra note 206, at 699.}

The Convention on the Rights of the Child\(^\text{210}\) places obligations on accepting states to recognize the right of every child to a standard of living adequate for the child’s physical, mental, spiritual, moral, and social development, and this may require the provision of housing.\(^\text{211}\)

Many other international instruments setting out rights to housing have been ratified by countries around the world.\(^\text{212}\) These include:

- The Declaration on the Rights of Disabled Persons (1975)\(^\text{213}\)
- The Vancouver Declaration on Human Settlements (1976)\(^\text{214}\)
- The U.N. Global Strategy for Shelter to the Year 2000\(^\text{215}\)
- The U.N. World Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) of Rio de Janeiro in 1992, which adopted Agenda 21
- ILO Recommendation No. 115 on Worker’s Housing (1961)
- The U.N. Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees (1951) and its Protocol\(^\text{216}\)
- The International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (1990)\(^\text{217}\)
- The Draft International Convention on Housing Rights (1994)\(^\text{218}\)
- The Habitat Agenda (1996)\(^\text{219}\)


\(^\text{211}\) \textit{Id.} at art. 27.

\(^\text{212}\) See, \textit{e.g.}, \textit{LEGAL RESOURCES}, supra note 180, at 60–70 (for a fuller compilation of these instruments); \textit{Centre on Housing Rights and Evictions}, http://www.cohre.org (last visited Apr. 3, 2008).


C. Council of Europe Housing Rights

The European Social Charter (ESC) of 1961 and its successor, the Revised European Social Charter (RESC) of 1996, set out a number of housing related rights and freedoms and establish a supervisory mechanism guaranteeing their respect by the states' parties. Since 1995, a Collective Complaints Protocol was established, allowing approved NGOs to lodge complaints against states in relation to breaches of the Charters.

220. The Council of Europe, established in 1949 and now with forty-six Member States has promoted a rights based approach in many areas, including housing rights, through its two Social Charters and also within its European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) with its allied European Court of Human Rights (ECHR). See Council of Europe, About the Council of Europe, http://www.coe.int/T/e/Com/about_coe/ (giving a brief summary of the Council, its history, and its aims). In 2000, the Council of Europe Committee of Ministers adopted a Recommendation on the Right to the Satisfaction of Basic Material Needs of Persons in Situations of Extreme Hardship. This acknowledged that "the satisfaction of basic human material needs (as a minimum: food, clothing, shelter and basic medical care) is a requirement intrinsic to the dignity of every human being and constitutes the condition for the existence of all human beings and their well-being." See Eur. Parl. Ass., Recommendation No. R (2000) 3 of the Committee of Ministers, 694th Meeting, (Jan. 18, 2000) at ¶ 5 (concerning the right to the satisfaction of basic material needs of persons in situations of extreme hardship).


224. A number of Collective Complaints addressing housing rights have been heard by the European Committee of Social Rights. See Council of Europe, European Committee of Social Rights: List of Collective Complaints and Advancement of the Procedure, available at http://www.coe.int/t/e/human_rights/esc/4_collective_complaints/List_of_collective_complaints/default.asp. See, e.g., Complaint No. 39/2006, FEANTSA v. France (holding that France did not meet the obligations of Article 31 despite significant public spending and extensive supports for social housing), Complaint No. 33/2006, International Movement ATD Fourth World v. France (holding that an international organization, ATD Fourth World, was competent to submit complaints under Article 16); Complaint No. 31/2005, European Roma Rights Center (ERRC) v. Bulgaria (holding that Bulgaria was in violation of Article 16 of the Revised European Social Charter on the basis that a
The RESC contains important rights to social and medical assistance for those without adequate resources, establishing rights to housing in relation to physically and mentally disabled persons, children and young persons, and social, legal, and economic protection for families, including a state obligation to provide family housing. The RESC grants migrant workers an explicit right to be treated equally in relation to access to housing and sets out the right of elderly persons to social protection and independent living by means of provision of housing suited to their needs and their state of health, or of adequate support for adapting their housing. Article 30 of the RESC, on rights to protection against poverty and social exclusion, includes an obligation on Contracting States to promote effective access to a range of services, including housing.

law limiting legalizing illegal dwellings had a disproportionate impact on the Roma ethnic group); Complaint No. 27/2004, European Roma Rights Center (ERRC) v. Italy (holding that Italy was in violation of Article 31 on the basis of providing insufficient housing opportunities to the Roma ethnic population); Complaint No. 15/2003, European Roma Rights Centre (ERRC) v. Greece (holding that Greece was in Violation of Article 15 on the basis that a law restricting nomadic individuals had a disproportionate impact on the Roma ethnic group). See also Robin R. Churchill & Urfan Khatik, The Collective Complaints System of the European Social Charter: An Effective Mechanism for Ensuring Compliance with Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 15 EUR. INT'L L. 417 (2004); G. Brillat, The Supervisory Machinery of the European Social Charter: Recent Developments and their Impact, in SOCIAL RIGHTS IN EUROPE 31 (Grainne de Burca et al. eds., 2005).

226. Id. at art. 15.
227. Id. at art. 17.
228. Id. at art. 16. For conclusions on Finland under this Article see Council of Europe, European Committee of Social Rights Conclusions XV-1, http://hudoc.esc.coe.int/esc/search/default.asp?mode=esc&language=en&source=re (enter "16" for the article, “Finland” for states and “XV” for cycles, then press search).
231. “By introducing into the Revised Charter a new Article 30, the Council of Europe member states considered that living in a situation of poverty and social exclusion violates the dignity of human beings. With a view to ensuring the effective exercise of the right to protection against poverty and social exclusion Article 30 requires States parties to adopt an overall and coordinated approach... The measures taken in pursuance of the approach must promote access to social rights, in particular employment, housing, training, education, culture and social and medical assistance.” Council of Europe, European Committee of Social Rights: Conclusions 2003–Volume 1, at 214 [hereinafter Conclusions 2003], http://www.coe.int/t/e/human_rights/esc/3_reporting_procedure/2_recent_conclusions/2_by_year/2003Vol1_en.pdf. “In the Committee's view housing is a critical policy area in fighting poverty and it is particularly interested to know what measures have been
Article 31 of the RESC advances a major new development in housing rights:

With a view to ensuring the effective exercise of the right to housing, the Parties undertake to take measures designed:

i. to promote access to housing of an adequate standard;

ii. to prevent and reduce homelessness with a view to its gradual elimination;

iii. to make the price of housing accessible to those without adequate resources.

The Conclusions of the CSR in monitoring Contracting States obligations under Article 31 have demonstrated the application of a new set of benchmarks to national housing law and policy.232

Under Article 31§1 of the Charter, the Committee considers that the Parties shall guarantee to everyone the right to housing and to promote access to adequate housing. . . . Equal treatment must be assured to the different groups of vulnerable persons, particularly low-income persons, unemployed, single parent households, young persons, persons with disabilities including mental health problems. . . . The Committee considers that, for the purpose of Article 31§1, the Parties must define the notion of adequate housing in law. The Committee considers that “adequate housing” means a dwelling which is structurally secure, safe from a sanitary and health point of view and not overcrowded, with secure tenure supported by the law. . . .

The Committee considers as homeless those individuals not legally having at their disposal a dwelling or other forms of adequate shelter. The temporary supply of shelter, even adequate, cannot be held taken to ensure an appropriate spatial distribution of (social) housing so as to avoid 'ghettoising' poverty and social exclusion.” Id. at 218.

232. See id. at 342–45. Accord Kenna, supra note 162 (discussing approaches to housing rights and the development of the Council of Europe’s monitoring system). It is important to point out that not all 46 States of the Council of Europe have accepted Article 31. States which had ratified the RESC by June 1, 2005 were: Albania, Andorra, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Estonia, Finland, France, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Moldova, Norway, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia, and Sweden. See Council of Europe, European Social Charter (Revised): Table of Signatories, http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/Commun/ChercheSig.asp?NT=163&CM=&DF=&CL=ENG.
as satisfactory and the individuals living in such conditions and who wish so, shall be provided with adequate housing within a reasonable period. . . .

The Committee considers that, for the purpose of Article 31§3, Parties shall ensure an adequate supply of affordable housing. The Committee considers housing to be affordable when the household can afford to pay the initial costs (deposit, advance rent), the current rent and/or other costs (utility, maintenance and management charges) on a long-term basis and still be able to maintain a minimum standard of living, as defined by the society in which the household is located.233

The European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR)234 contains many civil and political rights provisions leading to the development of housing rights across Europe, especially within Articles 3, 6, 8, 13, and 14 and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1.235

The provisions of Article 3 of the Convention, requiring states to ensure that no one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, have been applied to destitute homeless people.236

233. Conclusions 2003, supra note 231, at 342, 345, 348. Following this clarification of the obligations under Article 31, key indicators of rights to housing in general were presented by Professor Matti Mikkola, a member of the CSR, to include: immediate access to adequate temporary housing; a share of social rented housing (5–15 percent of aggregate housing); a maximum waiting period for social rented housing of six to twelve months; conditions for fair contracts in law, including termination of tenure and protection against harassment, threats, and forced eviction; demands of health, hygiene, and basic amenities, as well as criteria of suitability in law. See Housing Rights in Europe Conference, Helsinki, Fin., Sept. 18-19, 2006, Housing Rights in Council of Europe, http://www.ymparisto.fi/download.asp?contentid=56520&lan=en (for more details on Professor Mikkola’s indicators).


235. A further Protocol 12 was recently introduced, prohibiting discrimination by public bodies in all areas of discrimination not covered by Article 14. It is significant that the protection of the Convention applies to anyone resident in the countries who have adopted it, regardless of status. See Protocol No. 12 to the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, Council of Europe, Nov. 4, 2000, Eur. T.S. No. 177 at art. 1.

236. For instance, the House of Lords in England has ruled that the State has such obligations to failed asylum-seekers who remained in the State and were destitute with no assistance available to them. The Court considered the question as to what level of abject destitution such individuals must sink before their suffering or humiliation reaches the “minimum level of severity” to amount to “in-
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In the case of Moldovan and Others v. Romania, the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) concluded that the applicants' living conditions and the racially discriminatory manner in which their grievances were handled by the public authorities constituted an interference with their human dignity. In the special circumstances of the case, this amounted to "degrading treatment" within the meaning of Article 3.

Article 8 of the ECHR has particular significance in relation to state obligations to respect an individual's home. It states:

(1) Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence.

(2) There shall be no interference by a public authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

Article 8(1) protects the right of individuals to "respect" for their private life, family life, and "home." There is a right to access to, occupation of, and peaceful enjoyment of the home. All of the decisions of the ECtHR reflect a Convention view of home. "Home" is an autonomous concept, which does not depend on classification under domestic law. The concept of a home is not confined to dwellings or land, which are lawfully occupied or owned. All proceedings for possession of a home engage Article 8. Although the Article may be engaged, justifications for interference can be on the grounds that the interference is "in

human or degrading treatment" under Article 3 of the European Convention of Human Rights' as incorporated into the UK Human Rights Act 1998. Here the State was found to have a positive obligation under Article 3 to provide accommodation and other services. See Regina v. Secretary of State for the Home Department (Appellant) UKHL 66 (2005), available at 2000 WL 280234, ¶ 7.


238. But see Chapman v. United Kingdom, App. No. 27238/94, 33 Eur. H.R. Rep. 18 (2001) (holding that government refusal to allow a gypsy's caravan to stay on land was a legitimate interference "necessary in a democratic society" and therefore not a violation of Article 8).


acquitted with the law" or necessary in a democratic society, and proportionate to the aim sought to be achieved.241

While there is no obligation under the ECHR for a universal state housing provision, the combination of obligations under Articles 3 and 8 can lead to further positive obligations. In Marzari v. Italy,242 the obligation for public authorities to provide assistance to an individual suffering from a severe disability, because of the impact of such refusal on the private life of the individual, was advanced.243 Such positive obligations on states to protect people's homes have been found by the ECtHR under Article 8 in relation to protection from smells and nuisance from a waste treatment plant,244 toxic emissions emanating from a chemical factory,245 environmental pollution from a steel plant,246 and noise from bars and nightclubs.247 However, in Hatton v. United Kingdom248 the ECtHR found that Heathrow airport's policy on night flights had struck a fair balance between those whose rights to respect for home had been affected and the interests of the economic well-being of the country as a whole.

The First Article of Protocol 1 of the ECHR describes state obligations to ensure the peaceful enjoyment of possessions by every legal and natural person. "Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and

243. The Court considers that, although Article 8 does not guarantee the right to have one's housing problem solved by the authorities, a refusal of the authorities to provide assistance in this respect to an individual suffering from a severe disease might in certain circumstances raise an issue under Article 8 of the Convention because of the impact of such refusal on the private life of the individual. The Court recalls in this respect that, while the essential object of Article 8 is to protect the individual against arbitrary interference by public authorities, this provision does not merely compel the state to abstain from such interference: in addition, to this negative undertaking, there may be positive obligations inherent in effective respect for private life. A State has obligations of this type where there is a direct and immediate link between the measures sought by the applicant and the latter's private life.
246. Fadeyeva v Russia, App. No. 55723/00, ¶ 64, ECHR 2005-IV.
subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law.”

There is a long line of ECtHR cases showing that entitlements to social assistance can amount to a property right, benefiting from the protection of Article 1 of Protocol 1. Stretch v. United Kingdom held that the notion of “possessions” included the tenant’s interest in the continuation of a tenancy. Once this property right is established, then any interference with that right must satisfy the requirements of Article 1 of Protocol 1, Article 6, and also Article 14 in relation to non-discrimination. Of course, this jurisprudence relies on protecting preexisting rights or entitlements, rather than any creation of new rights.

Deprivation of possessions in this area can include the compulsory purchase of property or possessions, a partial reduction in rights, challenges to rent controls, and legislation restricting recovery of possession or prohibiting eviction. James v. United Kingdom showed that Article 1 of Protocol 1 does not guarantee a right to full compensation in all circumstances. Legitimate objectives of “public interest,” as pursued by measures of economic reform or measures designed to achieve greater social justice, may call for less than full market value reimbursement.

249. Of course, in the context of globalization it is significant to recognize that corporations are invoking human rights charters to grant themselves powers and protections, particularly in relation to property rights, but also to freedom of expression and other rights, under the provisions that such rights apply to “natural or legal persons.” See Anderson, supra note 120, at 125.


252. See, for example, Telyatyeva v. Russia, where the delay of almost two years in executing an order from a local court that a local authority must provide housing for the applicant, despite its claim that it had no suitable housing available, constituted a breach of Article 6 and Article 1 of Protocol 1. App. No. 18762/06, ¶¶ 8, 16, Final Judgment July 12, 2007, available at http://cmiskp.echr.coe.int/tkp197/view.asp?item=1&portal=hbkm&action=html&highlight=18762/06&sessionid=8756018&skin=hudoc-en (last visited May 30, 2008).


D. European Union (EU) Housing Rights

While the 1957 Treaty of Rome and subsequent European Union Treaties do not refer directly to a right to housing, much EU social policy, particularly the drive toward a single market in goods and services, has a bearing on housing rights and housing policy. The "rights talk" legal system established by the EEC Treaties of the 1950s was heavily market driven, and other moral or social considerations were at best of secondary or subsidiary relevance. To avoid a "race to the bottom" and in the absence of EU institutional competence (outside labor legislation) to introduce minimum standards in areas of social policy, the Community began to explore an alternative approach based on "fundamental social rights" in the 1990s. Although there are, as yet, no European-wide directives or measures specifically relating to housing rights, the effects of other measures can impact housing rights. Indeed, the diversity of the measures affecting housing is becoming increasingly large, including consumer protection, freedom of movement, rights of establishment, environmental protection, monetary union issues, and social policy and rights initiatives. As the informal meeting of the European Housing Ministers in Padua in 2003 described it:

255. Grainne de Burca, The Language of Rights and European Integration, in New Legal Dynamics of European Union 29, 29 (Jo Shaw & Gillian More eds., 1995) [hereinafter New Legal Dynamics]. For the first time the human rights basis of the EU was expressed in Article 6 of the Treaty of the European Union (TEU), which proclaimed that the "Union is founded on the principles of liberty, democracy, respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms and the rule of law. . . . " Article 7 (now Article 1-59 of Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe) permits the EU to adopt an initiative where there appears to be a clear risk of a serious violation of the values on which the EU is founded. See Grainne de Burca, New Modes of Governance and the Protection of Human Rights, in Monitoring Fundamental Rights in the EU: The Contribution of the Fundamental Rights Agency 25, 30 (Philip Alston & Olivier de Schutter eds., 2005) [hereinafter Monitoring Fundamental Rights]. See also European Union, Consolidated Versions of the Treaty Establishing the European Community, 2006 O.J. (C321) E/I 12–13 [hereinafter Consolidated Treaties]. The Preamble and Article 136 of the EC Treaty mentions fundamental social rights, specifically referring to the European Social Charter of 1961 and the 1989 Community Charter. See id. at 9, 106.

256. See Jeff Kenner, Economic and Social Rights in the EU Legal Order, in Economic and Social Rights in the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights 7, 13–14 (Tamara Hervey & Jeff Kenner, eds., 2003)

Although housing is not under the direct competence of the EU, it has complex links with many important issues with EU policies such as: building norms and energy conservation, competition rules, consumer policies, taxation, for instance rules on VAT, finance policies (i.e., Basel II), social inclusion, NAPincl and Joint Inclusion Memorandums for the accession countries, social and economic rights, statistics, structural funds, and promotion of research and technological development.\textsuperscript{258}

EU Regulations in the 1960s and 1970s ensured that migrating non-national workers and their dependents were entitled to the same social benefits, including access to housing, as nationals of Member States on the principle of nondiscrimination. Article 9 of Regulation 1612/68\textsuperscript{259} recognizes the significance of access to such services for European migrant workers in the context of nondiscrimination.\textsuperscript{260} In \textit{Commission v. Germany},\textsuperscript{261} the European Court of Justice (ECJ) held that a German law making the grant of a residence permit conditional on a worker having continual housing in the host state was in breach of EC law. Similarly, in \textit{Commission v. Italy},\textsuperscript{262} a restriction of access to reduced mortgage rates and other access to social housing, based on a requirement of Italian nationality, residence qualifications, and the granting of social housing for those near to their place of work, was held in breach of rules on rights to establishment under Article 52 and Article 59 of the Treaty of Rome. There are legally defined steps at the EU level to harmonize the conditions of asylum-seekers across Europe, including to


\textsuperscript{259} Council Regulation 16 12/68, art. 9, 1968 O.J. (L257) 2 (EEC), amended by Council Regulation 2434/92 1992 O.J. (L245) 1.

\textsuperscript{260} For cases on this Regulation, see http://curia.eu.int/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl (last visited Apr. 4, 2008).

\textsuperscript{261} Case 249/86, Comm’n v. Germany, 1989 E.C.R. 1263.

\textsuperscript{262} Case 63/86, Comm’n v. Italy, 1988 E.C.R. 29.
standardize housing conditions, to recognize the rights and status of third-country nationals, and to develop a common policy on illegal immigrants.

Perhaps the closest step to actual housing rights in EU instruments is contained in the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights, which was "jointly and solemnly proclaimed" at Nice by the Presidents of the European Parliament, the Council, and the Commission in December 2000. While the Charter does not include a specific right to housing, Article 34 on social security and social assistance states:

3. In order to combat social exclusion and poverty, the Union recognises and respects the right to social and housing assistance so as to ensure a decent existence for all those who lack sufficient resources, in accordance with the Rules laid down by Community law and national laws and practices.

Article 34(3) draws on point 10 of the EU Community Charter of the Rights of Workers 1989, Article 13 of the European Social Charter and Articles 30 and 31 of RESC. The Union must respect the Charter in the context of policies based on Ar-

---


267. Charter of the Fundamental Rights of the European Union, art. 34(3), Dec. 18, 2000, 2000 O.J. (C364) 1. The wording of Article 34(3) is distinctive in the EUCFR, in that it states that a specific right to social and housing assistance is recognized and respected. For instance, Article 34(1) in relation to social security, merely states that the "Union recognizes and respects the entitlement to social security benefits and social services providing protection in cases such as maternity, illness, industrial accidents, dependency or old age." Id. The EUCFR has now been incorporated into Part II of the Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe. See Treaty Establishing a Constitution for Europe, Oct. 29, 2004, 2004 O.J. (C310) 41. The Charter housing assistance provisions may be limited under Article 34(2): "Everyone residing and moving legally within the European Union is entitled to social security benefits and social advantages in accordance with Community law and national laws and practices." Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, art. 34 ¶ 2, 2000 O.J. (C364) 16.
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ticle 137(2) of the Treaty establishing the European Community. Since 2001 the Charter has been invoked in all legislative proposals of the Commission and since 2005, in the Impact Assessments of all Commission legislative and major policy-defining proposals. However, it has been pointed out that the ECJ remains cautious about the legal status of the Charter, while its integration into the Open Method of Coordination in relation to Social Inclusion has been nonexistent.

European Council Directive 2000/43/EC of June 2000 promotes the implementation of the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of racial or ethnic origin (excluding noncitizens of EU states) and specifically, "shall apply to all persons, as regards both the public and private sectors, including public bodies, in relation to: . . . (h) access to and supply of goods and services which are available to the public, including housing."

In order to comply with the Directive, Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that “any laws, regulations, and administrative provisions con-

268. For explanations of the Charter, see Draft Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, Oct. 11, 2000, http://www.europarl.eu.int/charter/pdf/04473_en.pdf (these explanations have been prepared at the instigation of the Praesidium. They have no legal value and are simply intended to clarify the provisions of the Charter) (last visited Mar. 20, 2008).


272. See Kenna, supra note 134. Social housing provisions have been recognized in the EU as a service of general economic interest. They are exempted from the full application of the Treaty with regard to competition law and State aids. See Communication from the Commission Implementing the Lisbon Community Programme: Social Services of General Interest in the European Union, COM (2006) 177 final (Apr. 26, 2006).


trary to the principle of equal treatment are abolished."\textsuperscript{275} Further, "Member States shall adopt the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 19th July 2003 . . ."\textsuperscript{276} and "Member States shall communicate to the Commission by 19 July 2005, and every five years thereafter, all the information necessary for the Commission to draw up a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Directive."\textsuperscript{277}

In 2004, the "Gender Directive," a Council Directive implementing the principle of equal treatment between women and men in the access to and supply of goods and services, addressed housing.\textsuperscript{278} The EU Unfair Contract Terms Directive 1993/93/13/EEC has had an impact on housing rights in many EU states\textsuperscript{279} and is creating a body of jurisprudence across Europe in relation to house purchase and tenancy agreements.\textsuperscript{280}

Clearly, a developing corpus of law, jurisprudence and literature on housing rights is developing, particularly in Europe and especially within the Council of Europe.

IV. SOME CONCLUSIONS

The disparate nature of the developments in globalization, housing systems, and housing rights do not permit a neat conclusion to this brief study. Instead, one can merely point to key developments within the rapidly changing landscape. All these areas require further research in the context of the promotion of housing rights.

A. Major Changes in Housing Systems

The globalization of neo-liberalism, production, consumerism, technology, and the speed of change are relentless developments, but a counter movement has been spawned. Driven by powerful forces, globalization is creating homelessness and greater impoverishment among the majority of the world's population, despite some advances for countries which have embraced industrialization and uni-

\textsuperscript{275} Id. at art. 14(a).
\textsuperscript{276} Id. at art. 16.
\textsuperscript{277} Id. at art. 17.
versal welfare provision. The greatest impacts appear to be on women's lives, whether in the new global industries or in trying to cope with migration, poverty, and homelessness. As a result of the development of capital and interest rates worldwide, the biggest housing price bubble in history exists today. More household equity is now held in housing than in shares, cash or possessions, and the consequences of a housing price crash could be catastrophic for many of the world's people. This is particularly relevant for those homeowners in low-paid, casual, and precarious employment, who often rely on the sub-prime lending market and who are exposed to eviction on default. Indeed, the sub-prime lending market is often targeted at those who occupy the lowest positions in the labor market or are excluded generally, reflecting the U.S. experience where African American and Hispanic households now are most severely affected by a downturn in the economy and housing market and the resulting repossessions.

Globalized housing corporations, World Bank drives for privatization and property registration, integration (with major difficulties) of mortgage markets, reordering of cities, and the creation of more slums, all have major effects on housing systems. So too, problems arise for states seeking to counter the worst effects of globalization from the newly imposed minimalist welfare roles of the state and the rise of "governance," networks, and "soft law" approaches to regulation in place of traditional democratic government legislative action at the national level. There is growing stratification of housing tenure allied to income, class, ethnicity, locations, and increasing land costs, creating difficulties for new purchasers, and of course, much homelessness in all cities (even in Europe where migrants and refugees are increasingly visible).

Housing rights are becoming an important part of international human rights law and principles, especially at the European level. Guaranteeing minimum standards and the progressive realization of such rights as resources permit, they offer an additional paradigm for the establishment and evaluation of housing policies. At a more specific level, some rights instruments (including civil and po-


282. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, whereas the national homeownership rate rose from 64.7 percent in 1995 to 68.8 percent in 2006, the rise was greater among African American (42.7 to 47.9 percent) and Hispanic households (42.1 to 49.7 percent). According to the Federal Reserve's Survey of Consumer Finances, the rise in homeownership from 1995 to 2004 was 6 percent in lower-income census tracts versus 4 percent in higher-income tracts. See John Kiff & Paul Mills, Money for Nothing and Checks for Free: Recent Developments in U.S. Subprime Mortgage Markets 5 (International Monetary Fund, Working Paper WP/07/188, 2007).
itical rights) are leading to particular housing scenarios being examined as violations of enforceable rights, with penalties and remedies being imposed. At the EU level, housing rights are being imposed in areas of nondiscrimination and early attempts to develop harmonized fundamental rights across Europe.

B. Mediating the Excesses of Neo-liberal Globalization

While state interventionist policies to mediate the worst excesses of neo-liberal globalization have become more politically difficult and costly at the national level, they are not impossible. The UNCHS *Report on Cities in a Globalizing World* points out that while the orthodox view is that it is the role of government to manage the macroeconomy to enable the market to address the needs of the poor, in practice, equity and political pragmatism help to justify measures that support the poor. For instance, in Thailand, the economic recession in the 1990s resulted in social investment funding from the World Bank and other donors. "Hence, it is not clear that the reductions in public expenditure have resulted in an inability of the state to support housing finance where the institutions of local government and civil society are strong enough to demand resources, and demonstrate the effective use of such resources." In Europe, Gøsta Esping-Andersen points out that different strategic responses to globalization emerge from different models of welfare states—liberal, conservative-corporatist, and social democratic. Doling and Ford, in their seminal study of experiences of globalization and home ownership in eight European countries, identify similar trends in relation to housing policy responses based on a "strong globalisation" model and a "weak globalisation" model. In the "strong globalisation" model, the massive power of international capital creates fear in states that they have lost much of their leverage over investment decisions, that international capitalists may engage in "regime shopping" and social dumping, where they will locate in countries with low taxation and weak labor rights. In this model, the actions of government are driven by a logic of globalization. Here, home ownership, once a source of stability, security, and investment, becomes a site for uncertainty and risk due to changing work patterns and reduced welfare

284. Id. at 84.
286. See John Doling et al., *Globalisation and Home Ownership*, in *Globalisation and Home Ownership*, supra note 69, at 3.
protection. In the "weak globalisation" model, the risks from globalization are mediated by social norms and state action, where risk is conceptualized as socially constructed rather than individual.287

The "ideal type" housing policy responses to globalization are defined by the model of welfare system in place.288 Thus, the neo-liberal model would address unemployed homeowners with mortgages as a feature of the market, to be resolved by a minimum of state intervention and only as a last resort. The social democratic model shifts the focus from the private to the public sector, with a public responsibility to ensure socially acceptable outcomes. The state would ensure adequate income, even in unemployment, for housing costs to be met. The conservative-corporatist model would also establish systems to protect the homeowner, but maintain status differentials. The "Latin rim" welfare model289 places reliance on family and church to provide assistance, rather than the state. Typically, the legal process of mortgage debt recovery is drawn out and lenders organize their affairs to protect themselves against widespread default.290

In some European countries, the power resources of the labor movement and the political forces supporting the post-war class compromise may still be strong enough to defend existing entitlements and to resist the dismantling of the welfare state. Jürgen Habermas urges a European Constitution as a means of creating a public sphere of European politics and protecting the gains of the Union.

In Western Europe ... the political tradition of the workers' movement, the salience of Christian social doctrines and even a certain normative core of social liberalism still provide a formative background for social solidarity. In their public self-representations, So-

287. Id. at 15. The level of support with housing costs to unemployed homeowners with mortgages is seen as an indicator of which approach is taken by States. Risks to homeowners are increasingly structural as well as cyclical and in some countries are being ratcheted upwards. Id. at 14–17.

288. Bo Bengtsson, Housing as a Social Right: Implications for Welfare State Theory, 24 Scandanavian Pol. Stud. 255, 270 (2001). Bengtsson recognizes that the right to housing must also be addressed in the market context. Drawing on a study of five Nordic countries' housing policies, Bengtsson plots a course of housing policy from an "establishment" phase from the 1920s, a "construction" phase after 1945, a "saturation" phase after the 1970s, and the privatization or "retrenchment" phase from the 1990s. Brendan Edgeworth points out that European post-war welfare States were managing risks to people and families rather than vindicating individual rights. See Brendan Edgeworth, Law, Modernity, Postmodernity: Legal Change in the Contracting State 90 (2003).


cial and Christian Democratic parties in particular support inclusive systems of social security and a substantive conception of citizenship . . . .

Indeed, while there is a perceived connection between globalization and reductions in state spending and the tightening of eligibility for assistance in key areas such as homelessness, some analyses of the actual situation in Europe reveal a more complex scenario. A FEANTSA Report in 2005 shows that European states continue to act significantly in relation to homelessness:

Our analysis of the changing role of the state in relation to housing and homelessness challenges, at several levels, the hegemony of neo-liberal ideology. The findings of the Working Group clearly demonstrate that the state in Europe (in all its various forms) continues to exercise—albeit differentially—considerable clout in respect to welfare delivery generally and in respect to housing and homelessness specifically. The variable national patterns of welfare delivery and intervention set up in the immediate post-war period continue to exercise a conditioning, if not determinate, influence on the present role of the state. We can perhaps regret that state involvement in terms of policies and resource commitment to the alleviation and prevention of homelessness has not received and still does not receive greater priority and attention, but there is little evidence to suggest a wholesale retreat or retrenchment.

Clearly, there are major opportunities to mitigate the effects of global neo-liberalism in housing systems within developed welfare states. But where these do not exist, countries are exposed to the naked pressures of neo-liberalization. Housing rights jurisprudence, implementation, and monitoring systems can provide some protection.

C. Some Observations

Challenging the World Bank and globalized neo-liberalism promoting property registration, privatization, markets, and capital movement, housing rights

292. Doherty et al., *supra* note 122, at 22.
advocates seek guarantees of nondiscrimination and equality in relation to registration and access to titles. Equally, the democratic and state ownership of land registries is required to ensure that they are in a position to comply with international human rights obligations of states. Indeed, land registry systems could be used by progressive states to examine and monitor patterns of ownership to ensure that situations of inequality and social exclusion, class, gender, ethnic, and other discrimination or segregation are not developing. Control of speculation in land may be required to protect the right to affordable housing.

The zoning, planning, and building control measures exercised by the state are critical elements in the development of housing markets, and these markets actually require a legal and regulatory framework that only governments can provide. Even in the age of globalization, states retain a variety of tools to influence housing policy and can promote housing rights through planning, zoning, public expenditure, taxation measures, and other measures. However, the World Bank pointed out in *Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction* that in many developing countries there has been a legacy of sectional and vested interests having undue political influence in relation to land zoning and planning applications, as well as state financial support for different tenures.

Housing rights advocates promote legally enforceable rights to access, equality, nondiscrimination, quality, high standards, habitability, etc. There needs to be clear, accountable, and well defined responsibilities on corporations and states in the area of regulation. Rather than self-regulation or ineffective co-regulation of housing systems and housing finance agencies, penalties and sanctions for viola-

---

293. Throughout October 2005, local, national and regional organizations, social movements, NGOs and academic institutions were called to organize public actions and events to denounce destructive impacts of neo-liberal development policies and economic globalization (such as: budget cuts, forced evictions, land speculation, and privatization of social housing and utilities) on people's housing and land rights across the planet. See Habitat International Coalition, *Housing and Land Rights Day 2006*, http://www.hic-net.org/indepth.asp?PID=61 (last visited Apr. 4, 2008).

294. Indeed, the UNCESCR requests information on a number of issues in its monitoring of Article 11 of the ICESCR. See ECOSOC, *Revised General Guidelines Regarding the Form and Content of Reports To Be Submitted To The Committee by States Parties under Articles 16 and 17 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights*, art. 11, UN Doc. E/C.12/1991/1 (June 17, 1991).


Housing rights advocates seek the end of discrimination in access to housing finance as a means of access to housing. Interest rates should be affordable and the use of sub-prime lending to people who have poor credit histories or casual employment must not discriminate through higher interest rates, thus doubly denying equality in the housing system. Improvident lending should be discouraged by denying foreclosures and repossessions where borrowers default. There is a critical need to address the housing rights guarantees of international instruments to this group in light of the housing bubble worldwide and falling U.S. house prices. In the event of mortgage default and repossession, housing rights, including the prevention of homelessness, must be guaranteed. For those who are unable to secure housing finance, appropriate social and affordable housing must be provided.

Consumer protection in this age of globalized housing finance corporations is a critical element of housing rights in market societies. This applies across the world as globalized housing finance impacts on housing access. Since 1987, there have been rules to harmonize consumer credit in Europe, although home loans were excluded from the scope of the directive. This is a major obstacle to housing rights within the European Union, and one which is rarely highlighted by housing rights advocates.

Housing rights advocates assert the need for legally defined and enforceable standards in housing quality and environment with adequate and affordable water and other services. Democratic control over planning and standards as well as compliance with international housing instruments are necessary. The objective of social inclusion for all should be an integral element of legally defined and enforced planning and infrastructure systems, particularly to counter the
“mosaics of inequality,” which are developing in the globalization of some cities. The requirements set out in General Comment No. 4 on housing adequacy need to be incorporated into infrastructure planning, as well as the HIC Housing Rights Toolkit. The standards and benchmarks developed by the Council of Europe Committee on Social Rights can provide effective measurements of the realization of the right to housing in key areas and are legal, transparent, and accessible. Many vulnerable people or migrants who are denied access to low-cost social housing are often forced into sub-prime borrowing, with little consumer or housing rights protection. These people are situated at the fault-line between private market housing and social housing.

Housing rights advocates seek to create legally enforceable, non-discriminatory rights to housing assistance for those in need of housing and homeless people. In some situations, the positive obligations of the state to prevent “inhuman and degrading treatment” under Article 3 of the ECHR have been used to assist homeless and destitute people. Housing rights should include special provisions for people with special needs. Housing rights also require policies of integration, quality, and affordability in social housing provision.

D. Can Housing Rights Instruments Deal with Globalization?

Rhoda Howard-Hassmann echoes much of what passes for conventional wisdom today claiming that globalization is inevitable. It is seen as the only path to long term growth, even though in the short term the process of globalization is causing human rights abuses. However, globalization speeds up access to the very idea of human rights through the Internet, as well as international publicity of human rights abuses. This enables the formation of global social movements in favor of human rights and the globalization of NGOs such as Greenpeace and Oxfam. Howard-Hassmann proposes that the “leapfrogging” of human rights across time and space can partially alleviate the problems caused by globalization.

Richard Falk suggests that a subaltern discourse on human rights encompasses the full panoply of human rights, with the UDHR laying the foundation for the UN

305. See Howard-Hassmann, supra note 11, at 1.
306. Id. at 38-39.
for superseding the dominant globalization discourse. There is a core demand, from a strictly materialist perspective, within Article 25(1): “Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services.”

U.N. Special Rapporteur Miloon Kothari pointed out in 2003 that while the debate continues at the international level on whether or not globalization can bring benefits to the world’s poor, the fact remains that the deepening inequalities of income and opportunity between and within nations has led to an increase in the number of people without adequate and secure housing:

It is time to rethink the current global economic and social policies and to recommit ourselves to the human rights principles and standards that offer the only real paradigm for improving the lives of millions of the poor.

Every woman, man, youth and child has the human right to a secure home and community in which to live in peace and dignity. This human right has received global recognition and is firmly established in a number of international human rights instruments, most notably in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

Notwithstanding the constraints and difficulties placed upon them, central governments still have an important role to play in reconciling macroeconomic policies with social objectives, keeping in mind the primacy of their human rights obligations. Governments have the responsibility to make targeted interventions in order to ensure universal access to public services, including water and sanitation, on a fair and equitable basis; this is fundamental for the fulfilment of the right to adequate housing.

307. Falk, supra note 34, at 71.

In a similar vein, the UNCESCR has addressed the role of the state in protecting housing rights in the age of globalization:

The view that States or other actors cannot be held responsible for violations of economic, social and cultural rights is seriously being questioned as a flawed premise, both empirically and conceptually. . . . At a minimum, States parties are obliged to realize minimum standards relating to each of the rights utilizing available resources in an effective manner. The Limburg Principles on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1986) and the Maastricht Guidelines on Violations of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1997) drawn up by groups of experts, and increasingly gaining in currency before United Nations forums, have expanded on the General Comment. The Maastricht Guidelines recognize a triad of obligations—to respect, protect and fulfil. As such, when State conduct falls short of these obligations, or fails to achieve the required level of realization of rights, it is responsible for violating the rights in the ICESCR. Violations can occur either through commission or omission. The jurisprudence of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights that recognizes “minimum core obligations” on the part of States parties are echoed by the Maastricht Guidelines. Such core obligations have to be fulfilled irrespective of resource or other constraints. In determining whether a State party has utilized the ‘maximum of its available resources’ attention shall be paid to the equitable and effective use of and access to available resources. It is also significant that the Maastricht Guidelines recognize violations by States resulting from their failure to exercise due diligence in controlling the behaviour of non-State actors, such as transnational corporations, over which they exercise jurisdiction, when such behaviour deprives individuals of their economic, social and cultural rights. 309

While this model, the “transmission belt” of international human rights being adopted into national law, is widely accepted, it faces major and growing obstacles from the new forms of governance, patterns of law, and particularly from increasing forms of soft law regulation. This classical approach to international human rights law, where states accept, ratify, and implement the international human rights norms through national laws and other measures, is based on a liberal legalist approach. It views laws as coherent and autonomous systems of norms “that operate[] as an effective tool of social engineering to promote or protect individual freedom.”

Anderson points out that while there has been a huge increase in international and national charters of rights, any discussion of states’ constitutional authority “now has to take account of the disciplining effects of the global economy, and the power networks formed by transnational corporations.”

Indeed, this liberal legalist (and occasionally nationalist) constitutional approach is in this new global climate no longer relevant to understanding the role of law in key areas of society, such as housing. For instance, constitutional and national laws may only apply to citizens. The position of the growing numbers of noncitizens, migrants, asylum-seekers, and refugees, often without any constitutional or legal protection, let alone housing rights, is growing in significance. It may no longer be appropriate to rely on national laws and constitutions to deal with the complex area of rights enforcement. The concepts of liberal legalism (reflecting the classical, historical liberal distrust of the state) and constitutional charters, which are principally concerned with the limitation of state actions, need to be radically reviewed. Equally, the traditional reluctance of courts to impose public obligations on private bodies for fear of constitutional breach needs to be re-examined. Further, State and constitutional rights development must now incorporate positive obligations on states to intervene and regulate private actors and national and international corporations.

Ultimately states and courts must “trump” private property rights with the panoply of human rights, including socio-economic rights. States must regulate property, both real and intellectual, and the contemporary forms of capital with enforceable laws and obligations at a macro and micro level.

310. Anderson, supra note 120, at 6.
311. Id.
312. The European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms places positive obligations on States to take action in a number of areas of rights, such as preventing inhuman and degrading treatment resulting from homelessness among failed asylum-seekers. See Regina v. Secretary of State for the Home Department and another (Respondents) ex parte Anufrijeva (FC) (Appellant) [2003] UKHL 36. See also, Lopez-Ostra v. Spain 20 Eur. H.R. Rep. 277 (1995) (for protection of home and family life).
Globalization and Housing Rights

Of course, the principle of equating multibillion dollar transnational corporations with the same legal status as rights-bearing individuals is increasingly acting to undermine human rights development. One major weakness in the rights system for housing rights is that legal liberalism formally treats owners of houses or gardens the same as owners of large-scale development land, large capital portfolios, or corporate equity. Perhaps it is now time to engage with the substantive rather than formal elements of housing rights so that this clear conceptual conflict can be seen. Equating land rights, registered as property rights, with housing rights is a simplistic and reductionist approach.

One recent case illustrates the limitations of the liberal legalist-defined housing rights approach in dealing with structural housing issues at individual level. In *Hutten-Czapska v. Poland*, the State-imposed ceiling on rent levels for Polish private tenants (600,000 to 900,000 tenants benefitted) was found to be too low to enable landlords to recoup their maintenance costs, let alone make a profit. While the court accepted that the measure to protect tenants was justified, the Polish legislation did not secure any mechanism for balancing the costs of maintaining the property and the income from rent (which covered 60 percent of these costs). This created a disproportionate and excessive burden on the applicant and constituted a violation of Article 1 of Protocol 1 of the Convention on peaceful enjoyment of possessions. It was not, however, up to the court to indicate what a reasonable rent would be.

The ECtHR unanimously held that the violation had “originated in a systemic problem connected with the malfunctioning of domestic legislation in that it had imposed, and continued to impose, on individual landlords restrictions on increases in rent for their dwellings, making it impossible for them to receive rent reasonably commensurate with the general costs of property maintenance.” It also held that “in order to put an end to the systemic violation identified in the present case, the respondent State must, through the appropriate legal or other measures, secure a reasonable level of rent to the applicant and other persons similarly situated, or provide them with a mechanism mitigating the above-mentioned consequences of the State control of rent increases for their right of property.”

In a partly concurring, partly dissenting opinion, Judge Zupančič raised im-

313. See *Anderson*, supra note 120, at 125.
315. *Id.* at ¶ 6.
316. *Id.*
portant questions for the ECtHR when addressing structural changes in society involving global influences such as deregulation of rents, affecting rights:

In order to respect the spirit of the Convention, we may take these political hesitations seriously and ask the next question. Is it better for Poland to be condemned in this Court 80,000 times and to pay all the costs and expenses incurred in 80,000 cases, or is it better to say to the country concerned:

“Look, you have a serious problem on your hands and we would prefer you to resolve it at home . . . ! If it helps, these are what we think you should take into account as the minimum standards in resolving this problem . . . ”?

In the end, and quite apart from the general question addressed above, I have voted against point number four of the operative provisions and its reference to paragraph 239 of the judgment. The objectionable paragraph 239 reads as follows: “. . . the Court considers that the respondent State must above all, through appropriate legal and/or other measures, secure in its domestic legal order a mechanism maintaining a fair balance between the interests of landlords, including their entitlement to derive profit from their property . . . ”

The travaux préparatoires of Protocol No. 1 amply demonstrate the hesitations different prospective signatories had concerning its Article 1. These hesitations concerned the question whether the right to property is a human right at all. A fortiori, the right to derive profit by merely owning an apartment building cannot be seen as a human right . . .

In other words, the question whether “peaceful enjoyment of one’s possessions” implies the “entitlement to derive profit from one’s property” must be answered in the negative. This is not the place to discuss the “social function of property”, although a clause to that effect is an integral part of many modern constitutions. Suffice it to say that a sheer profit for the landlord—in other words, income not
derived from his services—is, for the tenant, of necessity a payment that is not reciprocated by a benefit.

How can that be a landlord’s human right?\(^{317}\)

This case presents a clear challenge to the relevance of housing and human rights instruments in the face of structural global pressures. In this case it involved the abolition of rent controls for poor people, in line with World Bank policies. Of course, the landlord could have been a global corporation, and the court would have reached the same conclusion. But the core issue remains whether housing rights advocates can rely on courts to give effect to legally defined housing and other human rights in the face of international global economic ideologies.

In reconciling housing rights and globalization, it is important to remember that:

The market is not a naturally occurring phenomenon but an amalgam of the rules of property and contract that constitute it, including those of international trade and investment. Economic activities take place within a framework that is produced by political choices, is continually shaped by the State and is dependent on its enforcement agencies. Courts in particular play a significant role in protecting economic power.\(^{318}\)

Implementing, integrating, and enforcing housing rights in increasingly complex housing systems that are experiencing pressures from globalization, remains a significant challenge for housing rights advocates.\(^{319}\) Indeed, Paul O’Connell argues that one cannot be committed to the protection of fundamental human rights and at the same time be quiescent in the dominant model of globalization.\(^{320}\) The cur-


\(^{318}\) John Baker et al., Equality, From Theory to Action 126 (2004).


rent, dominant model of globalization, neo-liberal globalization, is inherently im-
imical to the protection of human rights. He suggests that advocates of human
rights (be they grassroots campaigners, academics, or members of the global human
rights officialdom) must take a strong stance against prevailing orthodoxies in order
to genuinely advance and entrench a culture of human rights protection.

Of course, the ICESCR enshrines states’ obligations to undertake to the max-
imum of available resources all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other
measures for the implementation of the right to housing, the meeting of mini-
imum core obligations, and the progressive realization of housing rights.

Perhaps it is also time for a fresh consideration of the meaning of rights and the
historical and ideological alignment of housing rights with property rights. The
distinction can be seen more clearly when housing rights begin to incorporate the
concept of home rather than property. Indeed, the concept of “home” itself is much
wider than a legal concept, and involves important subjective, cultural, emotional,
social status, and social relational issues. It involves more than a permanent or tem-
porary dwelling, but includes the human dimension of living and having relation-
ships. The concept of home epitomizes situations of family, affection, love, children,
and other human experiences. The idea of a house is usually central in the legal
treatment of home, but it has been suggested that home needs to be conceptual-
ized as a house plus an x factor. This x factor represents the social, psychological,
and cultural values which a physical structure acquires through use as a home.

[H]ome as a physical structure offers material shelter; home as a terri-
tory offers security and control, a locus in space, permanence and
continuity and privacy; home as a centre for self-identity offers a reflec-
tion of one’s ideas and values, and acts as an indicator of personal

Soc’y 580, 590 (2002); Lorna Fox, Conceptualizing Home: Theories, Laws and Policies 146,

322. Security of tenure and other housing rights are essential for children’s care, development
and protection of other rights. The term “development” here is taken from Article 6(2) of the
Convention on the Rights of the Child, to mean physical, emotional, cognitive, social and cultural
development. See Aoife Nolan, Security of Tenure from a Children’s Rights Perspective, 7 Econ. &

323. Fox points out that liberal legalism cannot deal appropriately with the concept of home ex-
cept in terms of property law and valuations of wealth, or criminal law prohibitions on damage to
property or persons. The legal or human rights protections of privacy or inviolability of home do
not define to any great extent the holistic nature of home. Of course, glorification of home in legal
discourse and law has often been associated with oppression of women.
status; and home as a social and cultural unit acts as the locus for relationships with family and friends, and as a centre of activities.\footnote{Fox, The Meaning of Home, supra note 321, at 590–91.}

Many of these elements of home have not yet been fully articulated or established in the domain of housing rights. Ingrid Westendorp points out that there are limitations to the effectiveness of international human rights and housing rights for women as they are based on public international law. This is based on legal liberalist concepts emphasizing freedom etc., which creates a major distinction between private and public spheres.\footnote{See Ingrid Westendorp, Women and Housing: Gender Makes a Difference (2007).} Perhaps the private is in fact public in the area of housing rights.

A meaningful legal concept of home is needed to enhance housing rights approaches, which would be capable of reflecting the range of experiences which take place in homes and the complexity of experiences of living. Housing rights in the age of globalization must be addressed in this context rather than as extensions of eighteenth-century frontiersmen's law. Now, there is a challenge for housing rights advocates.
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