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crude and must be limited and supplemented by a new rule, or new rules, by which specific reference is made to the case of a change of residence from a separation of assets state to a community property state, and vice versa. In the same way the choice of law rules must be rephrased from their present overgeneralizing and oversimplifying formulae, and must be broken down to a very much larger number of narrower rules of more specific application, until they are similar to the rules in all other fields of law, of which nobody dreams that they would be encompassed in just one or two dozen general maxims. If we do this consistently the law of conflict of laws will come to be like all other law; it will lose its mystifying aspects and, quite particularly, there will disappear those two bogeys by which it has been haunted too long, characterization and renvoi. But that is a story which cannot be told in a book review.

How refinement of the choice of law rules can be achieved in a field of great practical importance, has been instructively demonstrated by Mr. Marsh. He has not gone the whole length of the way, but he has carried us far. His book constitutes an important contribution to that reconstruction of conflicts law in which we are presently engaged in this country as well as abroad. Conflicts between American and foreign substantive laws as well as foreign conflicts law have been considered by Mr. Marsh only insofar as they have been treated by Rabel, Wolff and other authors writing in English. It is to be hoped that Mr. Marsh's book will attract the attention not only of the American practitioners and scholars but also of the foreign workers in the vineyard.

Max Rheinsteint


Most jobs of law-men, whether practitioners or writers, require close range work. This engenders the threat of professional myopia as a serious occupational hazard. It brings, therefore, wholesome relief to find and read the work of a legal scholar who has the courage, if not audacity, to step back a few paces, take a good look and then paint a picture of what he saw. Of course, a canvas of this type is illuminating only if its creator is endowed with vision and, above all, knows how to handle his colors. Professor Friedmann exhibits all these qualities requi-
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site for his undertaking. In addition he brings to his task an admirable professional equipment based on his rich experience gathered during a continental legal education, protracted *Wanderjahre* in Great Britain and Australia and his present tenure as professor of law at the University of Toronto.

In a comparatively slender volume the noted author attempts to "re-assess the function of law and legal institutions in the vastly changed social pattern of contemporary England" and to "assess the interrelation of law and social change in present-day British society." It is the avowed purpose and goal of Professor Friedmann to write a follow-up to Dicey's *Law and Public Opinion in England, during the Nineteenth Century* and to study the impact on the law and legal institutions produced by the transgression—or, in the opinion of the author, progression—from the Liberal State, the Nachtwächterstaat of unbridled capitalism, to the Welfare State, the Planned State of a social-democratic society.

The book, which actually is a loosely coordinated series of essays, is divided into four main parts: the common law in a changing society; the place of public law in contemporary English jurisprudence; statute law and the welfare state; and, finally, the planned state and the rule of law. As these headings indicate, the author's probing covers an immense field. All major phases and aspects of the social phenomenon called "law" are examined and re-appraised. Only a reading of the book can give a true impression of the astuteness with which basic trends are laid bare and seemingly haphazard and conflicting symptoms are correlated.

In ascertaining the latest metamorphoses of our fair lady Common Law, the author boldly and adroitly unveils the structural and functional changes which have come to pass in the venerable institutions of property, contract, tort, trust, corporate entity, criminal responsibility and freedom of trade as a result of the eclipse of the theories and policies which governed them during the period of laissez-faire ideology. The new role assumed by the State owing to its transformation into the modern social welfare state, with its "flood of social services, ministerial powers, administrative tribunals and public corporations," brought about a tremendous growth of, and placed new significance upon, that branch of the legal system which traditionally is designated as public law. The vastly extended boundary between public interest and private rights required re-definition and re-location. Old institutions, such as the public corporation, and old remedies, such as the extraordinary writs and the injunction, had to be adapted to the new needs and functions, and new doctrines and measures had to be accommodated by the existing legal machinery. All this is admirably spot-lighted and revealed by the author.
He bestows special and deserved attention to the new role of the statute as a principle means of social action through legal process and studies the results which flow from the process of interpretation from this shift in emphasis. The final part and chapter of Professor Friedmann's volume is devoted to the role of law in a planned society. It is clearly the climax of his investigation. The modern State acting, in the author's terms, as protector, as dispenser of social services, as industrial manager, as economic controller and as arbitrator not only must attribute new functions and meaning to the law but, of necessity, must itself assume a changed position within the legal order. Thus the re-definition of the legal status of public authorities emerges as the central legal problem of the planned but free society. Fresh tests for the differentiation between legitimate exercise and abuse of governmental power have to be shaped and the circumscription of scope of the new freedoms and their protection remains the eternal problem of the legal profession and, perhaps, the courts.

Professor Friedmann's book is unquestionably provocative and worth reading. It is certainly the befitting English counterpart and companion to similar though somewhat narrower studies in other systems, such as Léon Duguit's celebrated booklet *Les transformations générales du droit privé depuis le Code Napoléon* (2d ed. 1920), or René Savatier's more recent *Les metamorphoses économiques et sociales du droit civil d'aujourd'hui* (2d ed. 1952). There remains the thorny question of bias. One outstanding American reviewer has censured the author for being "tendentious without being frankly so," but at least two eminent English reviewers seem not to share this reproach. One states specifically that "readers will find no cause to complain that the book speaks with a tendentious political inflection" while the other alludes merely to the "reforming zeal that stands out from the printed page" and acknowledges that Professor Friedmann is a "legal reformer, and it would appear, something of a social reformer too." Undoubtedly Professor Friedmann is in open sympathy with the aims and policies of the new order and he voices articulately the conviction that the law must be, and remain, in consonance with the basic social conceptions of the times. But while he shows impatience with attitudes which to him seem outmoded he does not lose sight of the function and the responsibility of the law as an arm of democracy. He never becomes a mere apologist for governmental action, although he is frankly skeptical of the law as an independent social force.

To the American reader the book is interesting because its rich comparative content demonstrates how much of the progress in legal thought was developed on this side of the Atlantic and testifies to the sturdiness and vitality of modern domestic legal scholarship. The work thus has much greater significance than mere applicability to a \textit{jus tertii} and should be incorporated into the recommended intellectual diet of American lawyers and, especially, law students.

Stefan A. Riesenfeld†


Mr. Phillips' book is a welcome addition to the rapidly growing literature on the United States income tax treaty program. While the purview of the book is United States "extra-territorial" taxation, the relative importance of the tax treaty in this area is apparent from the author's assignment of one half of the book to this subject matter.¹ Like other recent works on the general subject,² this book has been written for the use of the tax practitioner. It is assumed that "the reader will have at his side copies of the Internal Revenue Code and of the various income tax conventions."³ Unlike his predecessors, Mr. Phillips has made a valid attempt to integrate the whole of federal tax law with the various specific provisions governing non-resident aliens and foreign entities, and the United States income tax treaties. This herculean task has been handled with dexterity and competence. While it is true that so large an undertaking in so little space must necessarily result in statements of "almost misleading simplicity,"⁴ Mr. Phillips has properly admonished his reader. He has suggested in defense that it is better "to at least note the problems and the general rules than to disregard them completely."⁵ It is evident that this book deserves the plaudits of the profession as a guide through the many complicated and abstruse sections of the
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