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CHANGES IN BOARD OF STATE BAR EXAMINERS

Remster A. Bingham, of Indianapolis, who has been the Secretary of the Board of State Bar Examiners since its organization, recently resigned as a member of the Board. Alan W. Boyd, of Indianapolis, has been appointed as Mr. Bingham's successor on the Board and as the Secretary of the Board.

John Gould, Deputy in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme and Appellate Courts, has been appointed Assistant Secretary. Otherwise the Board remains as heretofore, namely: Milo N. Feightner, Huntington, Chairman; Bernard C. Gavit, Bloomington, Treasurer; Julian Sharpnack, Columbus, and Lenn J. Oare, South Bend.

DEATHS

John B. Cockrum, Indianapolis, age 79, died April 15, 1937.
Jere West, Crawfordsville, died March 31, 1937.
Wilbur W. Hottel, Judge, Washington-Orange Circuit Court, Salem, age 65, died April 29, 1937.
J. Clyde Hoffman, Indianapolis, age 55, died in May, 1937
Jay Nye, Winamac, Prosecuting Attorney of Pulaski County, age 58, died May 7, 1937.
J. Fred Masters, Indianapolis, age 56, died April 19, 1937
Harry H. Cope, Madison, age 57, died in April, 1937.
Clinton K. Thorp, Washington, age 89, died in April, 1937.
George K. Gwartney, Corydon, age 82, died in April, 1937.

COMMENTS

THE RAILWAY LABOR ACT DECISION

By FRED C. GAUSE* AND ERLE A. KIGHTLINGER†

Preliminary

The decision of the Supreme Court of the United States which sustained the constitutionality of the Railway Labor Act1 was delivered by Mr. Justice Stone and is reported in 57 Sup. Ct. 592. Any discussion of this decision seems at first blush to come as an anticlimax to the more recent decisions of the Court in sustaining the constitutionality of the
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*Of the Indianapolis Bar and Past President of Indiana State Bar Association. †Of the Indianapolis Bar.