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REVIEWs

A REVIEW OF THE WORK OF
THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR OCTOBER TERM, 1928*

This book is the first of a series of books whose purpose it is
to review the decisions of the United States Supreme Court. It
has an introduction on "Problems in the Efficient Administration
of Justice;" fourteen other chapters on Railroad Problems, Pub-
lic Utilities, Insurance Questions, Banking Cases, Federal Taxa-
tion, State Taxation, Anti-trust Acts and Unfair Competition,
Railroad Labor Problems, Jones' Seamen's Act, Criminal Cases,
Criminal Cases—Federal Offenses, Political Issues, International
and Race Questions, and the Judicial Veto; together with a table
of cases and a subject index. The cases discussed are only
"selected cases." It seems to the reviewer that too many "pend-
ing cases" or cases in which "appeal is now pending" have been
included, and that the authors have unduly emphasized pro-
cedural points, but otherwise it seems to the reviewer that the
authors have exercised good judgment in the selection of their
cases. The method of classification is according to an economic
and business basis rather than a legal and constitutional basis,
but this may make the book of more practical value. The treat-
ment of the cases and the conclusions of the authors generally
seem to be accurate and well done. On page 263, they do not
distinguish between the power of the Supreme Court to declare
state laws unconstitutional because in violation of the United
States Constitution or of Federal laws and because not due
process of law, but otherwise their discussion of the judicial
veto power of the Supreme Court is accurate. If the work
begun by this volume is continued year after year, it will be of
great practical help to law teachers and to practitioners, but
the book can not be recommended to law students as a text book
on constitutional law.
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search Service, pp. xi-321.